SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK 1 COUNTY OF NEW YORK: -----x 2 ANTHONY MARCIANO, Individually, and on behalf of all other individuals similarly situated, 3 4 Plaintiff/Petitioners, 5 -against-Index: 160914/21 6 BILL DE BLASIO, MAYOR OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK, in his Official Capacity; DAVE A. CHOCKSHI, COMMISSIONER OF HEALTH AND MENTAL HYGIENE, in his Official Capacity; DERMOT SHEA, POLICE COMMISSIONER, 8 In his Official Capacity; THE NEW YORK CITY BOARD OF HEALTH; and THE CITY OF NEW YORK, Defendants/Respondents. 10 11 MICROSOFT TEAMS New York County Supreme Court 80 Centre Street New York, New York 10013 12 December 14, 2021 13 B E F O R E: FRANK P. NERVO, Justice of the Supreme Court 14 15 APPEARANCES: 16 17 PATRICIA FINN, ESQ. Attorney for Plaintiff/Petitioners 58 East Route 59, Suite 4 18 Nanuet, New York 10954 19 NEW YORK CITY LAW DEPARTMENT 20 OFFICE OF THE CORPORATION COUNSEL Attorneys for Defendants/Respondents 21 100 Church Street 22 New York, New York 10007 BY: EUGENIA FOWLKES, ESQ. 23 IVAN A. MENDEZ, JR., ESQ. 24 25 Tal R. Hahn, Senior Court Reporter

Proceedings 1 COURT OFFICER: All rise. Supreme Court, 2 State of New York, Civil Term is now in session. Honorable Frank Nervo presiding. Be seated and come to 3 4 order. 5 THE COURT: All right. Be seated please. 6 Before we begin, I am going to request that counsel 7 address the Court from the podium. When you are addressing the Court from the podium, if you are 8 comfortable with it, you could remove your mask. When 9 10 not addressing the Court and when at counsel table 11 kindly leave your masks on. You will see the Court is without a mask so I could be heard through the PA 12 13 system. I hermetically sealed myself in this little box as best as I could. Under the new modified rules 14 15 of the court that is permissible, what I just 16 suggested. So this is the matter of Anthony Marciano, 17 18 individually, and on behalf of all other individuals 19 similarly situated. Plaintiff/petitioner is against Bill De Blasio, Mayor of the City of New York and 20 21 others, under Index Number 160914 of 2021. 22 May we have your appearances for the record, 23 please, initially on behalf of the plaintiff. 24 MS. FINN: Yes. Good afternoon, your Honor.

THE COURT: I can't hear. Sorry.

Proceedings 1 Patricia Finn, F-I-N-N, for MS. FINN: plaintiff. 2 THE COURT: Okay. Very good. And on behalf 3 4 of the defendant/respondents? 5 MS. FOWLKES: Good afternoon. 6 COURT OFFICER: Press the button. 7 MS. FOWLKES: Good afternoon. This is Eugenia Fowlkes, assistant corporation counsel, counsel 8 9 for the City, respondents. 10 MR. MENDEZ: And Ivan Mendez, your Honor, 11 just observing. 12 THE COURT: The Court has reviewed the 13 submissions by the plaintiff and of course by the defendants. And it's -- it appears to the Court, and I 14 15 am sure I will be corrected if I am wrong, that the 16 petition is based on essentially three premises; the order that was issued -- the orders that were issued 17 18 violate certain of petitioner's constitution on civil 19 rights to, among other things, refuse informed consent; 20 that it violates the petitioner's right to Due Process 21 because the vaccinations have not been approved. 22 Secondly, that the authority to make the determination to impose vaccination mandates is in the 23 24 legislature. And with respect to the action against

the Police Department and the Commissioner, that said

4 Proceedings 1 Commissioner had no right or authority to issue said 2 rules and then enforce them, both constitutionally and because they violate a contract. 3 4 Ms. Finn, did I essentially state your 5 position? 6 MS. FINN: Yes, your Honor. 7 THE COURT: Okay. I would like you to address, if you don't mind, at the outset, the 8 9 allegation that the Mayor had no authority to issue 10 these orders to begin with in as much as, among other 11 reasons, there was no legislative authorization 12 provided? 13 MS. FINN: There or here? 14 THE COURT: Please. I think it's probably 15 best if you go from the podium. 16 MS. FINN: Sure. THE COURT: If that works for you. 17 18 MS. FINN: Yes. 19 (Brief pause.) 20 MS. FINN: Your Honor, I -- our position is 21 indeed that the respondents do not have the authority 22 to mandate a mask on any NYPD employee. And you had 23 mentioned that it was a lack of legislative authority, 24 but I think we could peel that back and go one further;

it's actually preempted by Congress. That goes to the

Proceedings

1	iggne	οf	informed	consent
L	TDDUC	$O_{\perp}$	TITTOTILEG	COMPETIC.

2	In the documents that I received last night
3	from the City in opposition, docket entry 19, paragraph
4	25, the City indicates that the vaccine has been
5	approved. That is incorrect. While indeed in August
6	the FDA issued a rather confusing and convoluted
7	emergency use authorization, the fact is the only
8	vaccine although it's a Pfizer vaccine, the only
9	Pfizer vaccine is called Comirnaty. And Comirnaty is
10	not available in the United States. So it's somewhat
11	disingenuous to suggest that the Pfizer vaccine, the
12	EAU Comirnaty is available in the United States. It
13	definitely is not. And that's likely because there is
14	no liability protection.

Comirnaty is available -- or will be available this month in Europe. But here in the United States vaccines are typically liability-free, particularly vaccines given to children. If you are injured by vaccines, you go to vaccine court where you sue the government. You don't sue the pharmaceutical company. So right off the bat, that is a big problem. And, you know, I could brief you on it, but the Comirnaty vaccine, which is the only FDA approved vaccine, is not available.

So, therefore, this brings in to the

6 Proceedings

emergency use authorizations for the Pfizer and Moderna
vaccine that are available. Under the Food and Drug
FDA and Cosmetic Act sorry, I will look that up.
But it's under the Cosmetic Act, an emergency use
authorized drug, whatever it is, and there are
plenty anthrax vaccine was an emergency use drug,
but under Federal Law Congress has preempted mandates
of emergency use drugs. That's the bottom line.

I think there is a case that's illustrative on this point. It was the District Court for D.C., and it was a 2000 case involving vaccines mandated, emergency use authorized anthrax vaccines mandated on the military. And the Court clearly indicated that you cannot mandate an unapproved off label vaccine. I believe, also, although I can't keep up with all the orders striking down all the mandates, but I do believe a Florida court had struck down the Biden mandate on soldiers. That would be in line with the case that I talked about.

Secondly, under the state law, in December of 2020, a couple weeks after the emergency use vaccines became available in New York, the legislature under the novel coronavirus legislation specifically did not mandate emergency use vaccinations, and the only authority that the legislature had given to the Health

7 Proceedings

Department, or in this case the City, was to do contact
tracing. There is absolutely no mandate for masks,
there is no mandate for PCR testing, and there is no
mandate for the vaccine allowed under the novel
coronavirus legislation, and the reason being Congress
preempted it under the Cosmetic Act. Period.

Now, if Comirnaty was available, you know, that might be a horse of a different color, but it's not. And I am not even sure if it's even being distributed. So I draw the Court's attention to the affirmation, paragraph 25, which boldly declares the Pfizer vaccine is authorized. So now we have the novel coronavirus legislation. I explained to you it only allows for contact tracing; nothing else.

And then we move to the statute. Public

Health Law 206(1)(1) specifically prohibits an adult

mandate. Now, there is an exception for children. And

that issue has been exhaustively litigated in the 2nd

Department. We have the C.F. case, which respondents

-- the respondents referenced in their opposition. And

several other cases. And so there is a massive

difference between a childhood vaccine that if you are

injured from that you get to go to court and you -- you

get to go to vaccine court and get compensation.

Currently right now under the emergency use

Proceedings

you can't do that. But, very specifically, this statute clearly prohibits an adult vaccination mandate. And I would suggest, or I would draw the Court's attention to a recent Fifth Circuit Decision in BST Holdings versus OSHA that shot down the Biden mandate. And I think the Court's language in BST is highly relevant here because the Mayor is doing exactly what the President had tried to do. They are trying to take a public health mandate that involves fundamental decision-making about one's bodily autonomy and they are trying to bypass legislative determination and decision-making and convert this public health mandate into an employment mandate. And that is exactly what the Fifth Circuit reviewed in BST.

In addition to that, the Fifth Circuit talked about how over-inclusive -- how overbroad and at the same time under-inclusive this mandate is for the NYPD. Specifically, it's overbroad because it takes no account of natural immunity. Now, I want to be clear. I am not -- this is not a battle of the experts. Half of that opposition that I got last night is praising the glories of the vaccines. I am not at all challenging safety and efficacy of the vaccines. This is a purely legal argument; preemption, separation of power, and statutory construction, which brings me to

Proceedings

1			• •
l	m s z	navt	$n \cap i n +$
L	шу	HEAL	point.

In the City's opposition they spend quite a bit of time talking about the union cases that have been brought and reasonable accomodation. I could simply tell you this case is not that. This is not a PERB issue. It's not a collective bargaining issue. And the reason is you cannot contract for a mandate that is fundamentally illegal. You cannot negotiate a contract term that imposes an illegal mandate. And, again, starting at the top, Food and Cosmetic Act, novel coronavirus legislation, Public Health Law 206.

So, although I respect what the unions are trying to do, and they may ultimately prevail, but without a temporary restraining order which I am asking you for here on the basis of statutory construction, not bargaining, okay, I think it's so important to make that decision. Those cases could take years to be resolved because of the inability to really obtain a TRO in a collective bargaining, unlike this case where there are clear statutory problems, constitutional problems, preemption, and of course separation of powers, which brings us to the Mayor.

The Mayor has absolutely no authority to -nor does he have any authority to order it or to direct
subordinates to direct the Police Commissioner or the

1	Health Department. Now, an important distinction here
2	might be with the Board of Health. If this Court is
3	familiar with Garcia versus City of New York, it's a
4	very interesting case. In the State Supreme Court that
5	involved a flu mandate that was added to the childhood
6	schedule. And the Court struck that down as as
7	it was violating the separation of powers. The 1st
8	Department upheld that, but on different grounds,
9	finding it was ultra vires.
10	The Board of Ed did have the authority, but
11	in this particular instance it had been overturned.
12	However, the Court of Appeals, you know, reversed and
13	said that the Board of Health does have the authority.
14	But, again, I emphasize, that flu shot was for children
15	and Public Health Law excludes adult mandates. It does
16	carve-out school-related vaccines. So I think Garcia
17	is illustrative here, and Garcia absolutely explained
18	Public Health Law and the difference between the adult
19	mandate.
20	THE COURT: Since you have segued into the
21	authority, or lack of authority of the Board of Health,
22	what is it
23	MS. FINN: Yes?
24	THE COURT: what is it this might be a
25	question perhaps directed to the Corporation Counsel

1	when they are at the podium, but under what authority
2	did the Department of Health, and of course you would
3	say they had no authority, to issue the mandate that
4	they issued?
5	MS. FINN: They don't.
6	THE COURT: What authority so let me
7	rephrase the question for you.
8	What authority would they have needed to
9	issue the mandate that they issued?
10	MS. FINN: Okay, but it applied to children
11	on
12	THE COURT: Adults only.
13	MS. FINN: There is none. It's precluded.
14	THE COURT: Well, can it be argued that the
15	Commissioner has the authority under circumstances, and
16	of course they would argue this is one of those
17	circumstances, that the Commissioner could, in fact,
18	issue an emergency mandate such as we have here, but
19	then it would have to be brought before the Board
20	within five days for it to continue?
21	MS. FINN: No, it could not.
22	THE COURT: Could not. So you say your
23	position is that the that the Commissioner of the
24	Health and Mental Hygiene Service the Department of
25	Health has no authority under any circumstances to

12 Proceedings 1 direct adults to be vaccinated? 2 MS. FINN: No, and the point I was making is in Garcia you had a different situation. The Court of 3 4 Appeals found that the Board of Health does have the 5 authority to regulate childhood vaccines, but there is 6 no corresponding authority. 7 Moreover, even if the Board of Health was to do it, there is procedural rules. There has to be a 8 9 There has to be a hearing and there has to be a vote. 10 11 THE COURT: That's right. And the hearing and vote would be by whom? 12 13 MS. FINN: The Board of Health. 14 THE COURT: Okay. 15 MS. FINN: So --16 THE COURT: Was that done in this case? 17 MS. FINN: Pardon me? 18 THE COURT: Was that done in this case? 19 MS. FINN: No. 20 THE COURT: Okay. My question may be 21 rhetorical, but I just want to confirm my understanding 22 of the facts. 23 MS. FINN: No, it's a procedural issue. 24 let me explain to your Honor why the Mayor is doing 25 this and not the Board of Health. Because the law is

\_\_\_\_\_13

1	very
2	THE COURT: Wait a minute. The Board of
3	Health issued didn't the Board of Health also issue
4	a mandate?
5	MS. FINN: No.
6	THE COURT: It was just the
7	MS. FINN: Okay. Why is the Mayor tackling
8	this beast instead of the Board of Health?
9	THE COURT: So why is the Board of Health
LO	why is the Commissioner of Health and Mental Hygiene in
L1	this case?
L2	MS. FINN: Pardon?
L3	THE COURT: Why did you sue the Commissioner
L 4	of Health and Mental Hygiene?
L5	MS. FINN: Because they reissued a series of
L6	orders that lack any legislative underpinning. And
L7	they're a necessary party. We had to sue them. I
L8	would have preferred to leave them out, but they are
L9	in.
20	But the bottom line is, the Board of Health
21	is let's say even if the Board of Health wanted to
22	try and do this, it is possible that if the Board of
23	Health determined that a particular officer was a
24	threat, and they wanted to either impose a physical
25	restraint such as a mask mandate or they demanded they

1	get tested every week, or the dreaded emergency use
2	vaccine, they would have to petition a magistrate under
3	Public Health Law 2120, and they would have to
4	demonstrate two important things; they would have to
5	demonstrate that the person being restrained or subject
6	to a judicial order of quarentine, that person would
7	actually have to be infected or suspected of infection
8	My client has natural immunity. He tested
9	positive. He has already had COVID. So you can't make
10	that. Then we get to the second part; the least
11	restrictive means. Your Honor, I respectfully say I
12	do not diminish the totality of this COVID nightmare.
13	It has affected everybody. I mean, me personally.
14	Everybody in this room is struggling. But when you
15	look at this opposition document, everything is
16	hysterical. It's all exaggerated. It's one hundred
17	percent increase. Well, what does that mean? We went
18	from two people to four people? The fact is, the
19	government, the City is trying to, you know, drag us
20	into this battle of the experts where, you know, the
21	Courts are differential to a public health authority
22	THE COURT: Well, we don't get there, do we,
23	Ms. Finn, until we first establish that the Mayor had
24	authority to issue this order to begin with.
25	MS. FINN: I think, your Honor, even if the

#### Proceedings

Mayor -- the Mayor cited an Executive Law authority, but if you look at the Executive Law, Section 22-A, it's a -- it defines disaster, and that definition includes an epidemic. But although the City pointed out the C.F. case, which was a completely different case; you had a nuisance, it was declared by the Board of Health that was procedurally appropriate, nothing like what is going on here, the 2nd Department held in C.F. that it was temporary, it had been over by the time it got to the court, and the issue was whether or not it was arbitrary and capricious. It's not.

What I am trying to point out here is that the Executive Law, in order to enact an emergency regulation, you have to have an emergency. The CDC -- this is not -- this is not Patricia Finn or a couple people that are protesting against vaccines. The CDC is our authority. I didn't bring in a scientist from Germany or someone else. I am asking you, your Honor, to take a look at what CDC is saying. Aside from the fact that they are all over the place scaring everyone to death, unaware of the potential of the variants -- I mean, everyone knows diseases have variants. How are they so shocked that an omicron variant, or that the delta variant -- is it reality? Is it really the number of cases? Sometimes, your Honor, you want

Proceedings 16

1	cases. Currently children seem to have a prevalence of
2	COVID antibodies, and yet they experience zero few
3	to none symptoms. Any children that have been injured
4	tended to have comorbidities; overweight, other
5	illnesses. I will set aside for a moment the total
6	inability to even track COVID data COVID deaths.
7	But the fact is that children, if they get COVID, they
8	decrease the prevalence of the illness in the
9	population. That's a good thing. Cases are a good
10	thing.
11	What we want to look at is infection fatality
12	rate. And according to the CDC we have a 98.7 percent
13	survival rate. And I would respectfully ask your Honor
14	to give me a ruling as to whether or not a 98.7 percent
15	survival rate rises to the level of an epidemic or
16	disaster as defined in the Executive Law.
17	I believe Judge Colon also in the I.R.O.A.R.
18	case specifically went through what the Mayor has to do
19	in order to declare an emergency. And she found that,
20	you know, this ain't it. This is not it. So, your
21	Honor, it's not case it's not the number of cases;
22	it's infection fatality rate.
23	THE COURT: Why are you limiting the criteria
24	to fatalities?

MS. FINN: Pardon me?

Proceeding	S
------------	---

1	THE COURT: Why are you limiting the criteria
2	to just fatalities? Why can't serious illnesses or
3	hospitalizations constitute an emergency?
4	MS. FINN: That is an excellent point, but
5	prior to COVID-19 we already had a hospital shortage.
6	The problem, what's going on in the there was
7	insufficient healthcare workers, insufficient
8	THE COURT: I am just questioning the
9	criteria that you are developing for what establishes
10	an emergency.
11	MS. FINN: That is what I am asking you. In
12	W.T. versus County of Rockland upheld by the 2nd
13	Department a week
14	THE COURT: How could I make that
15	determination without expert testimony as to what an
16	emergency is?
17	MS. FINN: Well, your Honor, you could rely
18	on the statistics and the definition in the Executive
19	Law. Judge Thorsen held that the minuscule number of
20	measles cases did not, in his opinion, and that was
21	based on government data it was actually based on
22	data offered by the county that the number of cases
23	did not rise to level of an epidemic. And that was
24	appealed to the 2nd Department and it was upheld. So
25	it wasn't disturbed. And then a week later Judge

#### Proceedings

1 Knipel ruled in C.F. that that measles mandate was
2 lawful. The point being, in Rockland County and in
3 Brooklyn you have these concentrations of has Hasidic
4 communities. And what was happening in these
5 communities, they weren't intentionally spreading
6 measles to a point having to be vaccinated. It wasn't
7 an outbreak; it was an in-break.

And so the Health Department went through appropriate procedures, Board of Health rules, they declared a nuisance and, you know, I personally -- I mean, I tried that case in Rockland, so I think I did a good job. But I think my counterparts in Brooklyn might have missed the mark slightly.

So, your Honor, in summary, unless you have a question, you know, in addition to all this I would ask you to think about what the Court -- the 2nd Department did in C.F.. The 2nd Department specifically upheld

Jacobson versus Massachusetts. I know a lot of lawyers have argued it's bad law, it's old law, it should be updated, and that may be true, but this lawyer doesn't believe that. I think Jacobson is an excellent holding. And recently the Supreme Court, Justice Gorsuch in Candan v. Newsome and Doe v. Mills -- I am sorry, your Honor, it was Roman Catholic Archdiocese versus Cuomo and Justice Gorsuch said that if Jacobson

#### Proceedings

was to come in front of them today it would be upheld.

Why would it be upheld? Because Jacobson, all he faced was a five dollar fine. He wasn't facing losing his job, a suffocating mask mandate, an invasive PCR test that could cause injury to the nasal cavity and the brain. All he had to do was pay five bucks. And I doubt that there's very few people that would pay five dollars to get out of one of these mandates.

Jacobson foresaw the possibility of a vaccine mandate being a plain and palpable invasion of fundamental rights, and it's here. This is it. COVID is absolutely overblown. It's the infection fatality rate that matters, not the case rate. And that is true of many, many viruss. We are an ecosystem. People need exchange in order to develop immunity.

But, again, I really do not want to get sucked into the science. Jacobson said a vaccine mandate had to be necessary, harm avoiding, proportional and nondiscriminatory. This mandate imposed by NYPD is none of those things. Jacobson said in order to -- the legislature, not the Executive, not the regulatory agencies, for the legislature to impose a mandate there must be grave danger. The Court -- I believe the language was "imperils society." There must be an epidemic, there must be an emergency, which

1	is how this ties into the statute, the Executive Law
2	20.A. 20.A defines a disaster as an epidemic. And the
3	2nd Department upheld Judge Thorsen's determination
4	that the rate of measles infection, which is higher
5	than COVID now, did not rise to the level of an
6	epidemic.
7	So I am not asking you to rule on the science
8	per say; I am asking you to rule on the legal
9	definition, and particularly to rely on the 1905
10	landmark vaccine refusal case, Jacobson versus
11	Massachusetts. The Court held there was a duty for
12	the Supreme Court held there was a duty for this Court
13	to adjudicate when a vaccine mandate is cruel not
14	sure if I remember the language specifically, but it
15	was it would be cruel to vaccinate people. And, you
16	know, that is what is going on right now.
17	May I just sum up thirty seconds?
18	THE COURT: Absolutely.
19	MS. FINN: So, your Honor, I will start at
20	the top. It's always a good place to start.
21	Congress has under the Food and Drug and
22	Cosmetic Act prohibits emergency use authorized drugs
23	from being mandated. It's preempted that the Comirnaty
24	vaccine is not available in the United States. And, by
25	the way, we have two weeks before Mayor De Blasio is

### Proceedings

1	replaced by Mayor Adams soon-to-be Mayor Adams. And
2	he's expressed relaxing these mandates. So I think a
3	temporary restraining order is appropriate because
4	think of the damage it's going to cause.
5	Secondly, that statement about Comirnaty to
6	the best of my knowledge is completely false. It's not
7	available. It's only EAU. Second, in December of
8	2020, when the EAU vaccines were already available the
9	legislature did not mandate a vaccine. And they
10	couldn't because they were preempted under the Food and
11	Drug and Cosmetic Act. It's simple as heck. And then
12	you got the statute 206(1)(1) which prohibits adult
13	vaccines. You have the authority of Garcia versus City
14	of New York for a resource on interpreting that
15	statute.
16	Finally, even if the Board of Health or the
17	Governor, or the Mayor, or the Police Commissioner
18	determined that an individual officer is a particular
19	threat, Public Health Law 2120 requires an additional
20	order of quarentine. I am sure this Court is well

It is the burden of the local Health

Department to petition the Court to establish that the

person is infected or suspected of infection, and it is

aware of cases of people that may be mentally ill, or

someone who is sick that refuses to follow guidance.

21

22

23

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

22

#### Proceedings

the least restrictive means. They have not done that, and they cannot do it, which is why the Board of Health can't mandate a blanket mandate like they have. that was reaffirmed in BST versus OSHA in the Fifth Circuit. And the Court was crystal clear; you cannot convert a public health mandate into an employment mandate particularly when the mandate is overbroad, does not include a potential for natural immunity which Federal Courts have recognized in the prisoner release cases that -- inmates that had already been infected and were let out, or were petitioning to be let out, they had no risk of reinfection because they had already gotten COVID and recovered. So I think it's clearly defined that this mandate, even if it was legal, is overbroad. at the same time under-inclusive. What about the people being arrested? What about the mailman that's coming into the police department? Or the Fed-Ex guy or delivery guy, or whoever is there? The Court has struck down mandates for larger companies over one hundred as being both overbroad and under-inclusive. And we have the same thing here. These police officers are involved with people all over. And, you know, I take issue with this

conclusion that eighty percent of the population is

1	vaccinated. I highly doubt that. And I will just
2	leave it at that. But if they are, I would submit to
3	your Honor that the majority of them acquiesced because
4	they were afraid of losing their jobs. So I ask you,
5	your Honor, this does not rise to the level of a
6	disaster that imperils society. It's bad, I get it,
7	but it's not there. Thank you.
8	(Brief pause.)
9	THE COURT: Ms. Fowlkes, you care to respond?
10	MS. FOWLKES: Yes, your Honor. Just one
11	moment.
12	(Brief pause.)
13	MS. FOWLKES: Your Honor, we have addressed
14	these arguments as presented in the petition in our
15	opposition papers that we submitted last night. So to
16	the extent that you have any specific questions, I'd be
17	happy to answer them after I give my formal
18	presentation.
19	Now, specifically here for the purposes of
20	today, this issue regarding the vaccine mandate and
21	specifically regarding granting a preliminary
22	injunction on the vaccine mandate, this has been
23	litigated over and over again. It has been litigated
24	repeatedly and each time the courts here in New York
25	and the Second Circuit have refused to grant a

1	preliminary injunction. And this Court's decision
2	today should be no different.
3	Now, specifically here for the purposes of
4	this preliminary injunction, opposing counsel has not
5	addressed the existence of irreparable harm. Now,
6	first, this case was brought over a month after the
7	Commissioner's order, over a month after the
8	Commissioner's order was distributed to municipal
9	employees and over a month after Mr. Marciano
10	presumably had notice on the order. So this delay
11	contradicts any irreparable harm
12	THE COURT: Sorry. Sorry for interrupting.
13	Has Mr. Marciano been put on leave without
14	pay status?
15	MS. FOWLKES: Your Honor, all that we are
16	aware of right now is that Mr. Marciano has applied for
17	a reasonable accomodation, and is awaiting a decision
18	on that. So since he applied for it he is not yet on
19	leave without pay status.
20	THE COURT: He is not yet on
21	MS. FOWLKES: That's correct. He is not yet
22	on leave without pay status because he has submitted a
23	reasonable accomodation request.
24	THE COURT: Okay.
25	MS. FOWLKES: Now, as for the irreparable

Proceedings

25

1 harm, the only points that are brought up in the 2 petition is that Mr. Marciano would be forced to succumb to a vaccine. Now, the vaccine mandate is not 3 4 compelling anyone to receive a vaccine. It's not 5 holding someone down and forcing them to receive a 6 Rather, it is -- that the vaccine mandate is 7 asking municipal employees to comply with a term of employment. 8 9 THE COURT: Was this term of employment in 10 effect at the time these people were initially 11 employed? 12 MS. FOWLKES: No, it was not, your Honor. 13 THE COURT: So it was a term of employment 14 that has been recently added under the current emergent conditions? 15 16 MS. FOWLKES: That's correct, your Honor. 17 This is a term of employment that was, as you just 18 stated, your Honor, added as of recent developments in 19 the last few months. Now, the vaccine mandate is 20 asking municipal employees to meet this term of 21 employment, and they have the choice to meet this term 22 of employment or to not meet this term of employment. 23 THE COURT: And face possible -- being placed 24 on leave without pay?

MS. FOWLKES: That's correct, your Honor.

26 Proceedings 1 THE COURT: Isn't that -- to use to a 2 colloquial term, strong-arming tactic? MS. FOWLKES: No, your Honor, it is not. And 3 4 petitioner, plaintiff/petitioner would be subject to --5 THE COURT: Oh, it's a motivation. It's a 6 motivation for these employees to comply with this 7 mandate -- or this order which we will discuss in a few moments with respect to its validity in the first 8 9 place -- to comply with the order that the Mayor issued 10 or you will be on leave without pay. So it's an 11 incentive, correct? 12 MS. FOWLKES: That's correct, your Honor.

13 THE COURT: Like the other incentives we've
14 heard about where the City offers one hundred dollars
15 to get a vaccination, things of that nature.

MS. FOWLKES: Similar.

19

20

21

22

17 THE COURT: Similar, but different effect to the party.

MS. FOWLKES: Effectively, this would be different in the sense that the Mayor is asking pursuant to his authority as the Executive Branch. And as --

23 THE COURT: He is asking? So the ask is,
24 kindly follow my directive or you will soon be without
25 income?

1	MS. FOWLKES: Your Honor, essentially yes, it
2	is to for municipal employees specifically to comply
3	with this term of employment, and it's a lawful
4	directive by the Mayor as has been
5	THE COURT: Under what authority can the
6	Mayor establish a term of employment after people have
7	been employed by the City of New York, or, in this case
8	the New York Police Department for some period of time?
9	How does that work?
10	MS. FOWLKES: Yes, your Honor. Allow me to
11	outline the process for this authority here. The Mayor
12	has the authority, and this was specifically outlined
13	in the I.R.O.A.R. case that opposing counsel cited to.
14	Judge Coleman described that the Executive Branch made
15	issue with reference to those entities within its
16	authority.
17	Now, Judge Coleman specified who is within
18	the authority. Those are subject to the Mayor's orders
19	and these are generally employees of the Executive
20	Branch which include municipal employees. Now,
21	additionally, this order was provided or was created
22	by the Department of Health and Mental Hygiene. And
23	that is pursuant to their own authority, specifically
24	that is brought in the City Charter.
25	Now, the City Charter, specifically Section

1	556 556 Subsection C, empowers the DOHMH with
2	jurisdiction to regulate all matters effecting the
3	health in the City of New York, including communicable
4	diseases. And, furthermore, the New York City
5	Administrative Code, Section 17-109 Subsection B
6	delegates this authority to the DOHMH to take measures
7	of general and gratuitous vaccines, or vaccination. So
8	the DOHMH has authority to adopt these vaccine
9	measures, and as for the
10	THE COURT: Pardon my unfamiliarity with some
11	of statutes you are referencing, and how they all work
12	together. Let me ask you this. Can the DOH do this in
13	total abrogation of Section 3.01(c) of the Health Code
14	which states, in substance, "the Health Code does not
15	require the immunization of adults?"
16	MS. FOWLKES: Your Honor, I am not sure I got
17	the first part of the before you asked the question.
18	THE COURT: Well, can the Department of
19	Health ignore Section 3.01(c) of the Health Code which
20	states "the Health Code does not require the
21	immunization of adults?" How does that factor into
22	what you just said?
23	MS. FOWLKES: Yes, your Honor. The
24	authorities that we cited separate from what you just
25	cited to the Section 3.01 of the Health Code, they are

1	not exclusive of each other. Because the authority
2	that we are citing to here is legislatively this was
3	brought by legislative means. And this delegated the
4	authority to specific actors. And here it was
5	delegated specifically to DOHMH, and specifically to
6	the Commissioner.
7	THE COURT: And what statute was that that
8	was delegated by the legislature?
9	MS. FOWLKES: So, first, this is the New York
10	City Charter.
11	THE COURT: Yes?
12	MS. FOWLKES: And then we have the New York
13	City Administrative Code. New York City Charter 556,
14	Subsection C. New York City Administrative Code
15	Section 17-109, Subsection B, as in boy.
16	(Brief pause.)
17	THE COURT: Doesn't 17-109 refer specifically
18	to vaccinations that may be provided gratuitously, and
19	does not provide for mandatory vaccination?
20	MS. FOWLKES: That is correct, your Honor, it
21	doesn't say anything about mandatory vaccinations but
22	it does provide for gratuitous vaccinations. But this
23	is important because, as we have made clear in the
24	papers, this was promulgated in under the lawful
25	authority of what we just cited here. And the DOHMH is

	Proceedings
1	essentially providing a choice to municipal employees,
2	because it is able to provide this term of condition to
3	municipal employees specifically. This is not for the
4	rest of the city. This is not for private citizens.
5	This is for employees that work for the city. And it's
6	giving them a choice that they could either comply with
7	this or they could choose to find a job somewhere else
8	that has no vaccine mandate.
9	THE COURT: And that's a reasonable choice in
10	your estimation?
11	MS. FOWLKES: Your Honor, this is reasonable
12	because this is a term of employment. And although
13	this was something that they may not have signed on to
14	when they initially joined, this is a term of
15	employment that's been promulgated in light of
16	circumstances recently.
17	THE COURT: In terms of employment, can
18	themselves be changed during the course of somebody's
19	employment?
20	MS. FOWLKES: Your Honor, yes.
21	THE COURT: Not to be flip, were the
22	Commissioner of the Police Department or the Mayor
23	decide, well, we have a new term of employment;
24	everybody must be six foot tall to be a policeman. If

you don't fit that term of employment, nice knowing

31 Proceedings 1 you. 2 MS. FOWLKES: Your Honor --THE COURT: How is that different? 3 4 MS. FOWLKES: -- unfortunately, your Honor, a hypothetical such as that or any sort of analogy is not 5 6 spot-on to the case at hand. But in an attempt to 7 answer the logic there, that would be subject to some sort of judicial review as is the case here. 8 9 THE COURT: Exactly right. That is why we 10 are here; Judicial review of this term of employment, 11 which you are arguing is permissible by the 12 Commissioner -- by the Police Commissioner and is 13 reasonable --MS. FOWLKES: Yes, your Honor. 14 THE COURT: -- under the circumstances. 15 16 MS. FOWLKES: Yes. And, your Honor, I would 17 like to specifically point to the New York City Charter 18 Section 434 --19 THE COURT: Okay. 20 MS. FOWLKES: -- which delegates authority to 21 the New York Police Department's Commissioner to have 22 control of the government, administration, disposition 23 and discipline of the department and the police force 24 of the department. 25 And so specifically as to the argument that

### Proceedings

the Commissioner was unable to promulgate or enforce the order at issue here, the Section 434 states that this is within the Commissioner's authority.

4 THE COURT: Okay.

MS. FOWLKES: And, your Honor, specifically here, as stated before, petitioner is not being compelled to succumb to a vaccine. The petitioner is being given a choice.

Now, essentially what the petitioner is alleging is irreparable harm, for purposes of the preliminary injunction, is that there is a loss of employment. And the loss of employment has been the type of harm that is plainly repairable. It can be redressed through monetary damages. And as compared to what is the situation currently, that we are fighting this public health issue, petitioner should not be able to -- should not be allowed to pause a vaccine mandate that is designed to cover the public health's interests, to cover the public health issues here just for their personal interests.

They -- if they are successful, they could be redressed after the merits have been heard, after the conclusion of this. Loss of employment is not an irreparable harm and, thus, should not be the reason why the preliminary injunction is granted as to the

Proceedings

33

1 vaccine mandate.

25

2 THE COURT: I understand that, without question. But as a matter of discretion, let's say, 3 4 what harm would there be to the defendants in this case 5 were the Court to issue a TRO? And I am aware that's 6 not the standard, but I am just asking, what harm would there be to the defendants in this case were the Court 7 to issue the TRO so that, in effect, the plaintiffs 8 9 such as Mr. Marciano and others similarly situated 10 could continue to litigate these matters without the 11 possibility of being without income, and all the problems that would raise, including possible 12 13 foreclosure on their homes, their children -- of course maybe I am exaggerating to make the point -- and their 14 15 wife and children to be homeless? What would the harm 16 be to the City under those circumstances? MS. FOWLKES: Your Honor, the harm would be 17 18 that there would be nothing in place to at least 19 attempt to mitigate coronavirus COVID-19 infections. 20 If the City is unable to --21 So you are making my point that THE COURT: 22 what this effectively is, is strong-arming the 23 plaintiff and others to get the vaccine or face the 24 possibility of homelessness.

MS. FOWLKES: Your Honor, we disagree, but

1	essentially if that is you know, if that is the
2	position you wish to take, absolutely.
3	THE COURT: It's a reasonable perspective.
4	MS. FOWLKES: Yes.
5	THE COURT: Okay.
6	MS. FOWLKES: But specifically here it is a
7	reasonable it's also reasonable for the Executive
8	Branch to create a term of employment, and the terms of
9	employment have been created time and again here,
10	specifically for municipal employees. So this is
11	limited to municipal employees and it is not as for any
12	other private citizens or private entities.
13	THE COURT: How does the reality that police
14	officers such as Mr. Marciano, detectives such as
15	Mr. Marciano are employed pursuant to a contract factor
16	into your argument?
17	MS. FOWLKES: Your Honor, you are referring
18	to the collective bargaining agreement?
19	THE COURT: Exactly.
20	MS. FOWLKES: Yes. So the Commissioner, the
21	NYPD Commissioner's order is, in effect, supplementing
22	the CBA, so the Commissioner, by the authority that was
23	promulgated to him, was the New York City Charter, is
24	able to supplement the terms of conditions here. And
25	so with respect to the CBA, this as for the specific

### Proceedings

1	terms that might be effected here, the Commissioner's
2	order would replace that.
3	THE COURT: Are there any restrictions on the
4	terms of employment that can be added or modified by
5	the Police Commissioner, or is it anything he believes
6	in the best interests of the City and police department
7	is appropriate?
8	MS. FOWLKES: Your Honor, the New York City
9	Charter has not specified, or lists any terms of
10	conditions that may be modified or that cannot be
11	modified. But the Commissioner by his or her very
12	position would have the authority to decide those
13	issues if and when they arise.
14	THE COURT: So there is no beginning point
15	and no ending point as to the Commissioner's authority
16	in that regard?
17	MS. FOWLKES: No, your Honor. There is
18	absolutely a beginning point in terms of the they
19	could not surpass what has been lawfully promulgated to
20	them. But it's specific to the administration of the
21	police force, specific to the governance of the police
22	force. And separate from whatever is at issue here
23	THE COURT: And you say that is not subject
24	to review?

MS. FOWLKES: Your Honor, you mean --

1	THE COURT: The terms of employment change.
2	MS. FOWLKES: Presumably this could be
3	subject to Judicial review if they are being asked to
4	But we submit, your Honor, that this is this has
5	been upheld by numerous courts. And specifically as
б	for the New York Police Department's authority and the
7	order that he promulgated, this is not any different
8	from on the other orders as specific to the Fire
9	Department of New York, or to the Department of
10	Education. And so those two have been affirmed
11	unanimously.
12	THE COURT: By the 1st Department?
13	MS. FOWLKES: Sorry?
14	THE COURT: By the Appellate Division, 1st
15	Department?
16	MS. FOWLKES: By the 2nd Department.
17	THE COURT: Appellate Division, 1st
18	Department?
19	MS. FOWLKES: I would have to
20	THE COURT: I don't think you will find any.
21	MS. FOWLKES: Sorry?
22	THE COURT: I don't think you will find any.
23	MS. FOWLKES: So, your Honor, it's that the
24	Police
25	THE COURT: I understand the rules that I am

Proceedings 1 bound by any Appellate Division whose already taken a 2 position on it, and I will take that into consideration. 3 4 MS. FOWLKES: Thank you, your Honor. 5 THE COURT: Yes. 6 MS. FOWLKES: Is there -- does your Honor 7 have --THE COURT: May I look at my notes? I think 8 you'll concede it's an awful lot of material to absorb 9 10 in a week, particularly in view of all our busy 11 schedules. 12 All right. The orders were issued by the 13 Department of Health. 14 MS. FOWLKES: That's correct. 15 THE COURT: Was it issued by the Board of the 16 Department of Health or just the Commissioner? MS. FOWLKES: The Commissioner, your Honor. 17 18 THE COURT: Correct me if I am wrong, I may 19 have read the cases differently, but isn't there a 20 requirement for the Commissioner then to present the matter to the Board for a full vote of that board? 21 22 MS. FOWLKES: There is that requirement in 23 the statute but that requirement is not applicable here. What the Commissioner ordered here is something 24

that has already been promulgated to him, and that is

# Proceedings

1	the that's a measure regarding a communicable
2	disease.
3	THE COURT: That was promulgated to him by?
4	MS. FOWLKES: By the New York City Charter,
5	or more specifically, by the Administrative Code
6	Section 17-109.
7	THE COURT: Just as a thought, there seems to
8	be an awful lot of run-arounds for the Executive to
9	take to avoid certain statutory or legislative
10	requirements. That obviously I will take a closer look
11	at.
12	MS. FOWLKES: If I may respond?
13	THE COURT: Sure.
14	MS. FOWLKES: The City is of the position
15	that this is not anything this is not skirting
16	around any sort of legislative policy making. This is
17	rule making, which is it's what the legislature gave
18	to the agencies. The legislature chose the end of
19	public healthcare and the means to promote that end by
20	empowering the agencies to add these necessary
21	directives or measures. And here it's specific to
22	mitigate this threat of communicable diseases.
23	So this is not this is not law making or
24	legislation creation, policy making, this is just
25	embracing the directives and the measures have been

_	7 '	
12200	$\Delta \Delta \Delta I$	1
FIUC	eedings	,

1	lawfully promulgated by statute.
2	THE COURT: Which would be which an
3	examiner of the various statutes may come to the
4	conclusion are inconsistent with other statutes
5	developed by the legislature. For example, Public
6	Health Law 206 which clearly says it was mentioned
7	in Garcia, Public Health Law 206, "does not authorize
8	the mandatory vaccination of adults."
9	MS. FOWLKES: Your Honor, we would submit
LO	that specifically without trying to go into the
L1	specifics of Garcia, I would have to brief you at a
L2	later date, this specifically is not in contradiction
L3	with any other statutes because this is specific to the
L 4	Executive Branch and specific to municipal employees as
L 5	is provided by the statutes that we've discussed.
L 6	THE COURT: We are not dealing with the
L7	general public, we are dealing with municipal employees
L8	and, therefore, there is other criteria to be met.
L9	MS. FOWLKES: That's correct.
20	THE COURT: All right. I understand there
21	are a number of other matters pending addressing
22	similar or the same issues in this one in other courts,
23	correct? One in particular, Justice Hagler of this
24	court, which has been put over to February.

MS. FOWLKES: I would have to confirm.

Proceedings

40

1 THE COURT: I only mention it because it 2 appears to me that it's going to take some time for these cases to work their way through the trial and 3 4 perhaps Appellate process. 5 MS. FOWLKES: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: At the -- frankly, at the risk of 6 7 stating the obvious, I presume there are others elsewhere. 8 9 Did you want to speak to your co-counsel? 10 MR. MENDEZ: I just want to --11 THE COURT: Use the mic. 12 I just want to share with the MR. MENDEZ: 13 Court, yes, the matter before Justice Hagler that was brought by the Correction Officers Benevolent 14 15 Association has been put off to February. There is 16 another matter tomorrow before Judge Frank on preliminary injunction. That is in a case brought by 17 18 the Police Benevolent Association, the union 19 representing the police officers. And in that case the union is challenging -- they claim not to be 20 21 challenging the mandate, though they actually are, but 22 they are focused on the reasonable accomodation process that was offered to police officers. 23 24 THE COURT: Which raises the question in this 25 case, which either counsel could address; why is the

41 Proceedings 1 reasonable accomodation standard not applicable to 2 Officer Marciano? Or is it your position that there is no possible way to reasonably accommodate someone that 3 4 hasn't been vaccinated? 5 MR. MENDEZ: No, your Honor, he has requested 6 an accomodation. That is pending with the NYPD. 7 decision has been met. While that is pending he's not on leave without pay. He tests. He can continue to 8 9 work until such time as that request is provided. 10 THE COURT: Until such time as the hammer 11 comes down and the Commissioner says you are now without pay, unless they come up with a reasonable 12 13 accomodation. 14 MR. MENDEZ: That's correct, your Honor. 15 THE COURT: All right. I just want to make 16 sure I was seeing the obvious. Just briefly, your Honor, if I 17 MR. MENDEZ: 18 may? 19 THE COURT: Certainly. 20 There's been a lot of talk about MR. MENDEZ: 21 Detective Marciano and, quote, unquote, other similarly 22 situated. I just want to put before the Court there is 23 no record before the Court as to the individual 24 circumstances of any other officers. There are no

affidavits, there is no testimony. We don't even have

Proceedings

42

1 testimony from Detective Marciano. 2 So the assumption that as a result of the imposition of the mandate the detective would 3 4 potentially lose his home and go homeless, that is not 5 a reasonable assumption based on this record, your 6 It's not in the record. They -- petitioner 7 made the record. THE COURT: I understand your position. 8 For all we know Mr. Marciano could be 9 10 independently wealthy and in the end the proposition is 11 totally moot. But we don't know that. 12 That is as possible as some of MR. MENDEZ: 13 the other speculation that we could sit here and engage in all day with the circumstances of thousands of other 14 15 police officers. Without a proper record, counsel 16 doesn't have organizational standing like a union potentially would to bring a claim on behalf of 17 18 similarly situated individuals. There is one 19 petitioner. 20 All right. So, therefore, it's THE COURT: 21 your position that, at best, the caption is 22 inappropriate. 23 MR. MENDEZ: That and --24 THE COURT: And --25 MR. MENDEZ: And any order issued by the

43 Proceedings 1 Court should necessarily be limited to Detective 2 Marciano because there is nobody else before --THE COURT: Well, that may be an argument you 3 4 may have to make in another court before another Judge 5 I have not determined that yet. or not. 6 MR. MENDEZ: We will just point out, we 7 addressed the standing issue in the papers. THE COURT: 8 Sure. 9 MR. MENDEZ: There is only one petitioner here. 10 11 THE COURT: Right. Very good. Anything further you wish to advise the Court, Ms. Fowlkes? 12 13 MS. FOWLKES: Nothing else, your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Ms. Finn, would you like 14 15 to respond? 16 MS. FINN: Yes. 17 THE COURT: I thought so. Okay. 18 (Brief pause.) 19 MS. FINN: Thank you. Your Honor, there is indeed testimony on this record. Detective Marciano 20 21 has given an affidavit. And, quite frankly, and 22 respectfully, it doesn't matter if he's a millionaire 23 or if he is the poorest person in the world. 24 is that he has a 14th Amendment Due Process right to 25 the statutory protections that prohibit adult vaccine

Proceedings

mandates. He voted in the election. He voted for legislatures that were in the position to engage in fundamental medical decision-making, and the Court in Boreali versus Axelrod specifically indicated in the smoking ban, that it's -- it's an excess of power to get involved with things involving fundamental medical decision-making.

I mean, what could be more fundamental than injecting someone with a substance they don't want which could potentially injure them if they already have natural immunity? You are absolutely correct, all of these police officers are being strong-armed. And the decision here is not about one police officer. If it's invalid to him, it's invalid to everybody. And I believe Garcia was a case also representative of others individually situated, and that holding in Garcia affected all children in numerous cases.

F.F. versus State of New York, the 2019

Fourth Department case challenging the repeal of the religious exemption. That was a vaccine mandate case brought on behalf of all similarly situated. So if it violates Detective Marciano's rights, it violates everybody.

And I would like to address one thing about the temporary restraining order. I find it remarkable

45 Proceedings

1	that the City actually claims that my client dragged
2	his heels when they have had this vaccine available for
3	over a year now. What is the hubbub? Another variant?
4	Another omicron? These things are coming like
5	watershed. You know what, the emergency is over. We
6	have to learn to deal with it. And the law, as you
7	absolutely pointed out, prohibits adult vaccine
8	mandates. It's the City that dragged their heels here.
9	It's the City that is manufacturing an emergency. If
10	they were so concerned about police officers, fireman,
11	sanitation workers, why didn't they do this last year?
12	I think that is an important point.
13	Further, Detective Marciano tried to obtain
14	anonymity. I am not sure if you were aware of that.
15	That was declined in the ex-parte division. And I
16	would respectfully ask that you allow me to reargue
17	that, because this case could end up to be another
18	Jacobson. And my client is a modest man. He's a

police detective. He takes his job and family life

seriously. And he doesn't want to be the poster case

21 for refusing vaccine mandates. But the fact is, he is

not.

19

22

23

24

25

With respect to the litany of cases raised by the City, I respectfully advise this Court that those cases involve religious freedom, religious

	Proceedings
1	accomodation, and whether or not this is a union
2	contract. Our case is different. There is no other
3	case out there. We have challenged this on preemption
4	and separation of power and statutory construction.
5	And regarding DOH's authority, as again you
6	aptly pointed out, it's gratuitous. Traditionally, the
7	authority of the Department of Health has been to
8	provide vaccine information. Free vaccines. Subway
9	signs. There's never before been this type of mandate.
10	I mean, all over the world people are looking at New
11	York and wondering what is going on here. The City is
12	crumbling under the weight of this. But the fact is,
13	you could offer free vaccines, you could put a sign up
14	in the subway but you can't hold somebody down and
15	mandate them without legislative authority; and in the
16	extreme, a Judicial Order of quarentine would be
17	required under 2120.
18	My client has a Due Process right to the
19	statutory protections of the State's law, which
20	specifically limit adult vaccine mandates.
21	Thank you.
22	THE COURT: Do you wish to be heard further?
23	MS. FOWLKES: No, your Honor.
24	THE COURT: Or Mr. Mendez?

MR. MENDEZ: No, your Honor.

Proceedings 47

1	THE COURT: I will take a ten minute recess
2	and we will be back. I will review my notes here.
3	(Recess taken.)
4	COURT OFFICER: All rise.
5	THE COURT: Thank you. Please be seated.
6	All right. Thank you for your indulgence.
7	After hearing the arguments of both counsel and reading
8	the documents that have been submitted with all
9	appropriate references and exhibits, while the Court is
10	not unmindful of the precedent with respect to
11	temporary restraining orders, this Court at this time
12	grants the temporary restraining order in the interests
13	of equity and this Court's overarching power to
14	exercise the Court's inherent interest of justice
15	jurisdiction. They attempted no prejudice asserted by
16	the municipal defendants that they will retain the
17	right to seek fiscal recovery in the event they
18	ultimately prevail.
19	Conversely, requiring the individual
20	first-responders to bear the financial difficulties
21	during the pendency of these matters is not only an
22	unjust burden, but perhaps more concerning, provides
23	the defendants with the ability to exercise their
24	financially strong opposition to coerce the
25	first-responders to succumb to the demands of the

# Proceedings

1	Executive at the expense of losing their right to be
2	heard on the merits.
3	While a number of other judicial opinions on
4	the one hand recognize the sacrifices of our vaccinated
5	and unvaccinated first-responders during these
6	unprecedented times, such as the plaintiff in this
7	case, and on the other hand deny them the benefits of
8	their assured continued pay status while these matters
9	proceed through the courts, this Court will not adhere
10	to what this Court perceives as a most blatant
11	injustice and hindrance to the plaintiff's right to be
12	heard on the petition.
13	So, therefore, the temporary restraining
14	order is issued pending the determination of this
15	application. Thank you all very much.
16	MS. FINN: Thank you, Judge.
17	MR. MENDEZ: Your Honor, if I may?
18	THE COURT: How could I stop you?
19	MR. MENDEZ: I would just ask the Court to
20	clarify as to whom the TRO is being issued, which
21	employees? Are we talking all police officers?
22	THE COURT: I presume your argument is only
23	Mr. Marciano; and the petitioner counsel on the
24	behalf of petitioner is arguing all police officers.
25	Court declines to clarify anything further.

Proceedings Thank you all very much. Certified to be a true and accurate transcript of the stenographic minutes taken within. Tal R. Hahn, Senior Court Reporter 

1	Α	affirmation [1] - 7:11	arming [2] - 26:2,	В
•		<b>affirmed</b> [1] - 36:10	33:22	
<b>100</b> [1] - 1:21	ability [1] - 47:23	<b>afraid</b> [1] - 23:4	<b>arounds</b> [1] - 38:8	bad [2] - 18:19, 23:6
<b>10007</b> [1] - 1:22	able [3] - 30:2, 32:16,	afternoon [3] - 2:24,	arrested [1] - 22:17	<b>ban</b> [1] - 44:5
<b>10013</b> [1] - 1:12	34:24	3:5, 3:7	aside [2] - 15:19, 16:5	bargaining [4] - 9:6,
<b>10954</b> [1] - 1:18	abrogation [1] - 28:13	agencies [3] - 19:22,	asserted [1] - 47:15	9:16, 9:19, 34:18
<b>14</b> <sub>[1]</sub> - 1:12	absolutely [9] - 7:2,	38:18, 38:20	assistant [1] - 3:8	based [4] - 3:16,
<b>14th</b> [1] - 43:24	9:23, 10:17, 19:12,	agreement [1] - 34:18	Association [2] -	17:21, 42:5
<b>160914</b> [1] - 2:21	20:18, 34:2, 35:18,	ain't [1] - 16:20	40:15, 40:18	basis [1] - 9:15
<b>160914/21</b> [1] - 1:5	44:11, 45:7	allegation [1] - 4:9	assumption [2] - 42:2,	<b>bat</b> [1] - 5:21
	absorb [1] - 37:9	alleging [1] - 32:10	42:5	battle [2] - 8:20, 14:20
<b>17-109</b> [4] - 28:5,	accommodate [1] -	allow [2] - 27:10,	assured [1] - 48:8	
29:15, 29:17, 38:6		• • •		bear [1] - 47:20
<b>19</b> [1] - 5:3	41:3	45:16	attempt [2] - 31:6,	beast [1] - 13:8
<b>1905</b> [1] - 20:9	accomodation [8] -	allowed [2] - 7:4,	33:19	<b>became</b> [1] - 6:22
<b>1st</b> [4] - 10:7, 36:12,	9:4, 24:17, 24:23,	32:17	attempted [1] - 47:15	begin [3] - 2:6, 4:10,
36:14, 36:17	40:22, 41:1, 41:6,	allows [1] - 7:14	attention [2] - 7:10,	14:24
	<b>4</b> 1:13, 46:1	Amendment [1] -	8:4	beginning [2] - 35:14,
2	according [1] - 16:12	43:24	Attorney [1] - 1:17	35:18
_	account [1] - 8:19	analogy [1] - 31:5	Attorneys [1] - 1:21	behalf [7] - 1:2, 2:18,
<b>20.A</b> [2] - 20:2	accurate [1] - 49:3	AND [1] - 1:7	August [1] - 5:5	2:23, 3:3, 42:17,
<b>2000</b> [1] - 6:11	acquiesced [1] - 23:3	anonymity [1] - 45:14	authorities [1] - 28:24	44:21, 48:24
<b>2019</b> [1] - 44:18	Act [6] - 6:3, 6:4, 7:6,	answer [2] - 23:17,	authority [46] - 3:22,	believes [1] - 35:5
<b>2020</b> [2] - 6:21, 21:8	9:10, 20:22, 21:11	31:7	4:1, 4:9, 4:21, 4:23,	benefits [1] - 48:7
<b>2021</b> [2] - 1:12, 2:21	action [1] - 3:24	ANTHONY [1] - 1:2	6:25, 9:23, 9:24,	Benevolent [2] -
<b>206</b> [3] - 9:11, 39:6,	actors [1] - 29:4			
		Anthony [1] - 2:17	10:10, 10:13, 10:21,	40:14, 40:18
39:7	Adams [2] - 21:1	anthrax [2] - 6:6, 6:12	11:1, 11:3, 11:6,	best [5] - 2:14, 4:15,
<b>206(1)(I</b> [2] - 7:16,	<b>add</b> [1] - 38:20	antibodies [1] - 16:2	11:8, 11:15, 11:25,	21:6, 35:6, 42:21
21:12	added [4] - 10:5,	appealed [1] - 17:24	12:5, 12:6, 14:21,	between [2] - 7:22,
<b>2120</b> [3] - 14:3, 21:19,	25:14, 25:18, 35:4	Appeals [2] - 10:12,	14:24, 15:1, 15:17,	10:18
46:17	addition [2] - 8:15,	12:4	21:13, 26:21, 27:5,	Biden [2] - 6:17, 8:5
<b>22-A</b> [1] - 15:2	18:15	appearances [1] -	27:11, 27:12, 27:16,	<b>big</b> [1] - 5:21
<b>25</b> [2] - 5:4, 7:11	additional [1] - 21:19	2:22	27:18, 27:23, 28:6,	Bill [1] - 2:20
<b>2nd</b> [8] - 7:18, 15:8,	additionally [1] -	Appellate [4] - 36:14,	28:8, 29:1, 29:4,	BILL [1] - 1:6
17:12, 17:24, 18:16,	27:21	36:17, 37:1, 40:4	29:25, 31:20, 32:3,	bit [1] - 9:3
18:17, 20:3, 36:16	address [4] - 2:7, 4:8,	applicable [2] - 37:23,	34:22, 35:12, 35:15,	blanket [1] - 22:3
	<b>4</b> 0:25, 44:24	41:1	36:6, 46:5, 46:7,	
3	addressed [3] - 23:13,	application [1] - 48:15	46:15	Blasio [2] - 2:20,
3	<b>2</b> 4:5, 43:7		authorization [2] -	20:25
<b>3.01</b> [1] - 28:25	,	applied [3] - 11:10,	4:11, 5:7	BLASIO [1] - 1:6
<b>3.01(c</b> [2] - 28:13,	addressing [3] - 2:8,	24:16, 24:18	authorizations [1] -	blatant [1] - 48:10
•	2:10, 39:21	appropriate [5] - 15:7,	6:1	board [1] - 37:21
28:19	adhere [1] - 48:9	18:9, 21:3, 35:7,		<b>BOARD</b> [1] - 1:8
4	<ul> <li>adjudicate [1] - 20:13</li> </ul>	47:9	authorize [1] - 39:7	<b>Board</b> [22] - 10:2,
4	administration [2] -	approved [3] - 3:21,	authorized [4] - 6:5,	10:10, 10:13, 10:21,
4 4.40	<del>-</del> 31:22, 35:20	5:5, 5:23	6:12, 7:12, 20:22	11:19, 12:4, 12:7,
<b>4</b> <sub>[1]</sub> - 1:18	Administrative [4] -	aptly [1] - 46:6	autonomy [1] - 8:10	12:13, 12:25, 13:2,
<b>434</b> [2] - 31:18, 32:2	28:5, 29:13, 29:14,	arbitrary [1] - 15:11	available [12] - 5:10,	13:3, 13:8, 13:9,
_	<del>-</del> 38:5	Archdiocese [1] -	5:12, 5:15, 5:16,	13:20, 13:21, 13:22,
5	adopt [1] - 28:8	18:24	5:24, 6:2, 6:22, 7:7,	15:6, 18:9, 21:16,
	<b>adult</b> [8] - 7:16, 8:2,	argue [1] - 11:16	20:24, 21:7, 21:8,	22:2, 37:15, 37:21
<b>556</b> [3] - 28:1, 29:13	10:15, 10:18, 21:12,	argued [2] - 11:14,	45:2	<b>bodily</b> [1] - 8:10
<b>58</b> [1] - 1:18	43:25, 45:7, 46:20	18:19	avoid [1] - 38:9	<b>boldly</b> [1] - 7:11
<b>59</b> [1] - 1:18			avoiding [1] - 19:18	•
	adults [5] - 11:12,	arguing [2] - 31:11,	awaiting [1] - 24:17	Boreali [1] - 44:4
8	12:1, 28:15, 28:21,	48:24	<del>-</del>	<b>bottom</b> [2] - 6:8, 13:20
	39:8	argument [5] - 8:24,	aware [4] - 21:21,	<b>bound</b> [1] - 37:1
<b>80</b> [1] - 1:11	advise [2] - 43:12,	31:25, 34:16, 43:3,	24:16, 33:5, 45:14	<b>box</b> [1] - 2:14
[1]	45:24	48:22	awful [2] - 37:9, 38:8	<b>boy</b> [1] - 29:15
	affected [2] - 14:13,	arguments [2] - 23:14,	Axelrod [1] - 44:4	<b>brain</b> [1] - 19:6
9	44:17	47:7		Branch [5] - 26:21,
40.40.46.	<b>affidavit</b> [1] - 43:21	arise (1) - 35:13		27:14 27:20 34:8

**arise** [1] - 35:13

armed [1] - 44:12

27:14, 27:20, 34:8,

affidavit [1] - 43:21

**affidavits** [1] - 41:25

**98.7** [2] - 16:12, 16:14

12:6

39:14 break [1] - 18:7 brief [4] - 5:22, 29:16, 39:11, 43:18 Brief [3] - 4:19, 23:8, 23:12 briefly [1] - 41:17 bring [2] - 15:17, 42:17 brings [3] - 5:25, 8:25, 9:22 Brooklyn [2] - 18:3, 18:12 brought [9] - 9:4, 11:19, 24:6, 25:1, 27:24, 29:3, 40:14, 40:17, 44:21 **BST** [4] - 8:4, 8:6, 8:14, 22:4 bucks [1] - 19:6 burden [2] - 21:23, 47:22 busy [1] - 37:10 button [1] - 3:6 BY [1] - 1:22 bypass [1] - 8:11 C

C.F [4] - 7:19, 15:5, 15:9, 18:1 C.F. [1] - 18:17 Candan [1] - 18:23 cannot [6] - 6:14, 9:7, 9:8, 22:2, 22:5, 35:10 Capacity [3] - 1:7, 1:8, capricious [1] - 15:11 caption [1] - 42:21 care [1] - 23:9 carve [1] - 10:16 carve-out [1] - 10:16 case [36] - 6:9, 6:11, 6:18, 7:1, 7:19, 9:5, 9:19, 10:4, 12:16, 12:18, 13:11, 15:5, 15:6, 16:18, 16:21, 18:11, 19:13, 20:10, 24:6, 27:7, 27:13, 31:6, 31:8, 33:4, 33:7, 40:17, 40:19, 40:25, 44:15, 44:19, 44:20, 45:17, 45:20, 46:2, 46:3, 48:7 cases [16] - 7:21, 9:3, 9:17, 15:25, 16:1, 16:9, 16:21, 17:20, 17:22, 21:21, 22:10, 37:19, 40:3, 44:17,

45:23, 45:25 Catholic [1] - 18:24 42:17 cavity [1] - 19:5 CBA [2] - 34:22, 34:25 CDC [4] - 15:14, 48:25 15:16, 15:19, 16:12 Centre [1] - 1:11 certain [2] - 3:18, 38:9 certainly [1] - 41:19 Certified [1] - 49:3 **challenged** [1] - 46:3 challenging [4] - 8:23, 40:20, 40:21, 44:19 change [1] - 36:1 changed [1] - 30:18 Charter [8] - 27:24, 27:25, 29:10, 29:13, 38:5 31:17, 34:23, 35:9, 38:4 childhood [3] - 7:22, 10:5, 12:5 27:17 children [10] - 5:18, 7:17, 10:14, 11:10, 16:1, 16:3, 16:7, 33:13, 33:15, 44:17 CHOCKSHI [1] - 1:7 choice [5] - 25:21, 30:1, 30:6, 30:9, 32:8 45:4 **choose** [1] - 30:7 chose [1] - 38:18 Church [1] - 1:21 Circuit [5] - 8:4, 8:14, 8:15, 22:5, 23:25 circumstances [8] -11:15, 11:17, 11:25, 30:16, 31:15, 33:16, 41:24, 42:14 cited [5] - 15:1, 27:13, 28:24, 28:25, 29:25 citing [1] - 29:2 citizens [2] - 30:4, 34:12 City [32] - 2:20, 3:9, 5:3, 5:4, 7:1, 10:3, 14:19, 15:4, 21:13, 26:14, 27:7, 27:24, 27:25, 28:3, 28:4, 29:10, 29:13, 29:14, 31:17, 33:16, 33:20, 34:23, 35:6, 35:8, 38:4, 38:14, 45:1, 16:4 45:8, 45:9, 45:24, 46:11 CITY [4] - 1:6, 1:8, 1:9, 1:20

city [2] - 30:4, 30:5

City's [1] - 9:2

civil [1] - 3:18

Civil [1] - 2:2

claim [2] - 40:20, 21:6 comply [5] - 25:7, claims [1] - 45:1 26:6, 26:9, 27:2, 30:6 clarify [2] - 48:20, concede [1] - 37:9 clear [4] - 8:19, 9:20, concentrations [1] -22:5, 29:23 18:3 clearly [4] - 6:13, 8:2, concerned [1] - 45:10 22:14, 39:6 concerning [1] - 47:22 client [4] - 14:8, 45:1, conclusion [3] -45:18, 46:18 22:25, 32:23, 39:4 condition [1] - 30:2 closer [1] - 38:10 **co**[1] - 40:9 conditions [3] - 25:15, co-counsel [1] - 40:9 34:24, 35:10 Code [9] - 28:5, 28:13, confirm [2] - 12:21, 28:14, 28:19, 28:20, 39:25 confusing [1] - 5:6 28:25, 29:13, 29:14, Congress [4] - 4:25, coerce [1] - 47:24 6:7, 7:5, 20:21 Coleman [2] - 27:14, consent [2] - 3:19, 5:1 consideration [1] collective [3] - 9:6, 37:3 9:19, 34:18 constitute [1] - 17:3 colloquial [1] - 26:2 constitution [1] - 3:18 Colon [1] - 16:17 constitutional [1] color [1] - 7:8 9:20 comfortable [1] - 2:9 constitutionally [1] -4:2 coming [2] - 22:18, construction [3] -Comirnaty [8] - 5:9, 8:25, 9:15, 46:4 5:12, 5:15, 5:23, 7:7, contact [2] - 7:1, 7:14 20:23, 21:5 continue [3] - 11:20, **COMMISSIONER** [2] -33:10, 41:8 1:7, 1:8 continued [1] - 48:8 Commissioner [24] contract [5] - 4:3, 9:7, 3:25, 4:1, 9:25, 9:9, 34:15, 46:2 11:15, 11:17, 11:23, contradiction [1] -13:10, 13:13, 21:17, 39:12 29:6, 30:22, 31:12, contradicts [1] - 24:11 31:21, 32:1, 34:20, control [1] - 31:22 34:22, 35:5, 35:11, conversely [1] - 47:19 37:16, 37:17, 37:20, convert [2] - 8:12, 37:24, 41:11 22:6 Commissioner's [6] convoluted [1] - 5:6 24:7, 24:8, 32:3, coronavirus [5] - 6:23, 34:21, 35:1, 35:15 7:5, 7:13, 9:11, communicable [3] -33:19 28:3, 38:1, 38:22 Corporation [1] communities [2] -10:25 18:4, 18:5 CORPORATION [1] comorbidities [1] -1:20 corporation [1] - 3:8 companies [1] - 22:20 correct [12] - 24:21, company [1] - 5:21 25:16, 25:25, 26:11, compared [1] - 32:14 26:12, 29:20, 37:14, compelled [1] - 32:7 37:18, 39:19, 39:23, compelling [1] - 25:4 41:14, 44:11 compensation [1] corrected [1] - 3:15 7:24 Correction [1] - 40:14 completely [2] - 15:5, corresponding [1] -

Cosmetic [6] - 6:3, 6:4, 7:6, 9:10, 20:22, 21:11 Counsel [1] - 10:25 COUNSEL [1] - 1:20 counsel [11] - 2:6, 2:10, 3:8, 24:4, 27:13, 40:9, 40:25, 42:15, 47:7, 48:23 counterparts [1] -18:12 COUNTY [1] - 1:1 county [1] - 17:22 County [3] - 1:11, 17:12, 18:2 couple [2] - 6:21, 15:15 course [6] - 3:13, 9:21, 11:2, 11:16, 30:18, 33:13 court [9] - 2:15, 5:19, 6:17, 7:23, 7:24, 15:10, 39:24, 43:4, 48:25 COURT [105] - 1:1, 2:1, 2:5, 2:25, 3:3, 3:6, 3:12, 4:7, 4:14, 4:17, 10:20, 10:24, 11:6, 11:12, 11:14, 11:22, 12:11, 12:14, 12:16, 12:18, 12:20, 13:2, 13:6, 13:9, 13:13, 14:22, 16:23, 17:1, 17:8, 17:14, 20:18, 23:9, 24:12, 24:20, 24:24, 25:9, 25:13, 25:23, 26:1, 26:5, 26:13, 26:17, 26:23, 27:5, 28:10, 28:18, 29:7, 29:11, 29:17, 30:9, 30:17, 30:21, 31:3, 31:9, 31:15, 31:19, 32:4, 33:2, 33:21, 34:3, 34:5, 34:13, 34:19, 35:3, 35:14, 35:23, 36:1, 36:12, 36:14, 36:17, 36:20, 36:22, 36:25, 37:5, 37:8, 37:15, 37:18, 38:3, 38:7, 38:13, 39:2, 39:16, 39:20, 40:1, 40:6, 40:11, 40:24, 41:10, 41:15, 41:19, 42:8, 42:20, 42:24, 43:3, 43:8, 43:11, 43:14, 43:17, 46:22, 46:24, 47:1, 47:4, 47:5, 48:18, 48:22

District [1] - 6:10

disturbed [1] - 17:25

division [1] - 45:15

Division [3] - 36:14,

emergency [21] - 5:7,

6:1, 6:4, 6:6, 6:8,

6:12, 6:21, 6:24,

7:25, 11:18, 14:1,

exactly [4] - 8:7, 8:13,

31:9, 34:19

exaggerated [1] -

37:16, 44:19, 46:7

DEPARTMENT [1] -

department [4] -

1:20

39:17

death [1] - 15:21

deaths [1] - 16:6

27:10, 28:16, 28:23,

29:9, 29:12, 29:20,

30:11, 30:20, 31:2,

31:4, 31:14, 31:16,

31:20, 32:5, 33:17,

33:25, 34:4, 34:6,

34:17, 34:20, 35:8,

35:17, 35:25, 36:2,

36:21, 36:23, 37:4,

37:6, 37:14, 37:17,

37:22, 38:4, 38:12,

38:14, 39:9, 39:19,

39:25, 40:5, 43:13,

Fowlkes [1] - 3:8

FRANK [1] - 1:13

frankly [2] - 40:6,

free [3] - 5:17, 46:8,

freedom [1] - 45:25

fundamental [5] - 8:9,

19:11, 44:3, 44:6,

fundamentally [1] -

furthermore [1] - 28:4

front [1] - 19:1

full [1] - 37:21

Frank [2] - 2:3, 40:16

46:23

43:21

46:13

44:8

9:8

36:13, 36:16, 36:19,

### F

experts [2] - 8:20,

explain [1] - 12:24

explained [2] - 7:13,

expressed [1] - 21:2

extent [1] - 23:16

extreme [1] - 46:16

14:20

F.F [1] - 44:18 face [2] - 25:23, 33:23 faced [1] - 19:2 facing [1] - 19:3 fact [8] - 5:7, 11:17, 14:18, 15:20, 16:7, 43:23, 45:21, 46:12 factor [2] - 28:21, 34:15 facts [1] - 12:22 false [1] - 21:6 familiar [1] - 10:3 family [1] - 45:19 fatalities [2] - 16:24, 17:2 fatality [3] - 16:11, 16:22, 19:12 **FDA** [3] - 5:6, 5:23, 6:3 February [2] - 39:24, 40:15 Fed [1] - 22:18 Fed-Ex [1] - 22:18

Federal [2] - 6:7, 22:9 few [4] - 16:2, 19:7, 25:19, 26:7 Fifth [4] - 8:4, 8:14, 8:15, 22:4 fighting [1] - 32:15 finally [1] - 21:16 financial [1] - 47:20 financially [1] - 47:24 fine [1] - 19:3 FINN [33] - 1:17, 2:24, 3:1. 4:6. 4:13. 4:16. 4:18, 4:20, 10:23, 11:5, 11:10, 11:13, 11:21, 12:2, 12:13, 12:15, 12:17, 12:19, 12:23, 13:5, 13:7, 13:12, 13:15, 14:25, 16:25, 17:4, 17:11, 17:17, 20:19, 43:16, 43:19, 48:16 Finn [5] - 3:1, 4:4, 14:23, 15:15, 43:14 Fire [1] - 36:8 fireman [1] - 45:10 first [8] - 14:23, 24:6, 26:8, 28:17, 29:9, 47:20, 47:25, 48:5 first-responders [3] -47:20, 47:25, 48:5 fiscal [1] - 47:17 fit [1] - 30:25 five [4] - 11:20, 19:3, 19:6, 19:7 flip [1] - 30:21 Florida [1] - 6:17 flu [2] - 10:5, 10:14 focused [1] - 40:22

follow [2] - 21:22,

Food [4] - 6:2, 9:10,

20:21, 21:10

force [3] - 31:23,

35:21, 35:22

forced [1] - 25:2

forcing [1] - 25:5

foresaw [1] - 19:9

formal [1] - 23:17

Fourth [1] - 44:19

fowlkes [2] - 23:9,

3:5, 3:7, 23:10,

FOWLKES [59] - 1:22,

23:13, 24:15, 24:21,

24:25, 25:12, 25:16,

25:25, 26:3, 26:12,

26:16, 26:19, 27:1,

four [1] - 14:18

43:12

foreclosure [1] - 33:13

foot [1] - 30:24

26:24

## G

Garcia [9] - 10:3, 10:16, 10:17, 12:3, 21:13, 39:7, 39:11, 44:15, 44:16 general [2] - 28:7, 39:17 generally [1] - 27:19 Germany [1] - 15:18 given [4] - 5:18, 6:25, 32:8, 43:21 glories [1] - 8:22 Gorsuch [2] - 18:23, 18:25 governance [1] -35:21 government [4] - 5:20, 14:19, 17:21, 31:22 Governor [1] - 21:17 grant [1] - 23:25 granted [1] - 32:25 granting [1] - 23:21 grants [1] - 47:12 gratuitous [3] - 28:7, 29:22, 46:6 gratuitously [1] -29:18

grave [1] - 19:23 grounds [1] - 10:8 guidance [1] - 21:22 guy [2] - 22:18, 22:19

## Н

Hagler [2] - 39:23,

Hahn [2] - 1:25, 49:6

hammer [1] - 41:10

happy [1] - 23:17

harm [11] - 19:18,

24:5, 24:11, 25:1,

32:10, 32:13, 32:24,

hand [3] - 31:6, 48:4,

40:13

48:7

half [1] - 8:20

33:4, 33:6, 33:15, 33:17 Hasidic [1] - 18:3 Health [45] - 6:25, 7:16, 9:11, 10:1, 10:2, 10:13, 10:15, 10:18, 10:21, 11:2, 11:24, 11:25, 12:4, 12:7, 12:13, 12:25, 13:3, 13:8, 13:9, 13:10, 13:14, 13:20, 13:21, 13:23, 14:3, 15:7, 18:8, 18:9, 21:16, 21:19, 21:23, 22:2, 27:22, 28:13, 28:14, 28:19, 28:20, 28:25, 37:13, 37:16, 39:6, 39:7, 46:7 **HEALTH** [2] - 1:7, 1:9 health [7] - 8:9, 8:12, 14:21, 22:6, 28:3, 32:16, 32:19 health's [1] - 32:18 healthcare [2] - 17:7, 38:19 hear [1] - 2:25 heard [6] - 2:12, 26:14, 32:22, 46:22, 48:2, 48:12 hearing [3] - 12:9, 12:11, 47:7 heck [1] - 21:11 heels [2] - 45:2, 45:8 held [4] - 15:8, 17:19, 20:11, 20:12 hermetically [1] - 2:13 higher [1] - 20:4 highly [2] - 8:6, 23:1 hindrance [1] - 48:11 hold [1] - 46:14 holding [3] - 18:22, 25:5, 44:16

homeless [2] - 33:15, 42:4 homelessness [1] -33:24 homes [1] - 33:13 Honor [60] - 2:24, 3:10, 4:6, 4:20, 12:24, 14:11, 14:25, 15:18, 15:25, 16:13, 16:21, 17:17, 18:14, 18:24, 20:19, 23:3, 23:5, 23:10, 23:13, 24:15, 25:12, 25:16, 25:18, 25:25, 26:3, 26:12, 27:1, 27:10, 28:16, 28:23, 29:20, 30:11, 30:20, 31:2, 31:4, 31:14, 31:16, 32:5, 33:17, 33:25, 34:17, 35:8, 35:17, 35:25, 36:4, 36:23, 37:4, 37:6, 37:17, 39:9, 40:5, 41:5, 41:14, 41:17, 42:6, 43:13, 43:19, 46:23, 46:25, 48:17 honorable [1] - 2:3 horse [1] - 7:8 hospital [1] - 17:5 hospitalizations [1] -

17:3

hubbub [1] - 45:3

22:21, 26:14

hundred [3] - 14:16,

Hygiene [4] - 11:24,

HYGIENE [1] - 1:7

13:10, 13:14, 27:22

hypothetical [1] - 31:5

hysterical [1] - 14:16

Holdings [1] - 8:5

home [1] - 42:4

4

ı I.R.O.A.R [2] - 16:17, 27:13 ignore [1] - 28:19 ill [1] - 21:21 illegal [2] - 9:8, 9:9 illness [1] - 16:8 illnesses [2] - 16:5, 17:2 illustrative [2] - 6:9, 10:17 immunity [5] - 8:19, 14:8, 19:15, 22:8, 44:11 immunization [2] -28:15, 28:21 imperils [2] - 19:24,

23:6 important [5] - 9:16, 10:1, 14:4, 29:23, 45:12 impose [3] - 3:23, 13:24, 19:22 imposed [1] - 19:20 imposes [1] - 9:9 **imposition** [1] - 42:3 in-break [1] - 18:7 inability [2] - 9:18, 16:6 inappropriate [1] -42:22 incentive [1] - 26:11 incentives [1] - 26:13 include [2] - 22:8, 27:20 includes [1] - 15:4 including [2] - 28:3, 33:12 inclusive [4] - 8:16, 8:17, 22:16, 22:21 income [2] - 26:25, 33:11 inconsistent [1] - 39:4 incorrect [1] - 5:5 increase [1] - 14:17 indeed [3] - 4:21, 5:5, 43:20 independently [1] -42:10 Index [2] - 1:5, 2:21 indicated [2] - 6:13, 44:4 indicates [1] - 5:4 individual [3] - 21:18, 41:23, 47:19 individually [2] - 2:18, 44:16 Individually [1] - 1:2 individuals [3] - 1:3, 2:18, 42:18 indulgence [1] - 47:6 infected [3] - 14:7, 21:25, 22:10 infection [6] - 14:7, 16:11, 16:22, 19:12, 20:4, 21:25 infections [1] - 33:19 **information** [1] - 46:8 informed [2] - 3:19, 5:1 inherent [1] - 47:14 injecting [1] - 44:9 injunction [6] - 23:22, 24:1, 24:4, 32:11, 32:25, 40:17 injure [1] - 44:10 injured [3] - 5:19,

7:23, 16:3 injury [1] - 19:5 injustice [1] - 48:11 inmates [1] - 22:10 instance [1] - 10:11 instead [1] - 13:8 insufficient [2] - 17:7 intentionally [1] - 18:5 interest [1] - 47:14 interesting [1] - 10:4 interests [4] - 32:19, 32:20, 35:6, 47:12 interpreting [1] -21:14 interrupting [1] -24:12 invalid [2] - 44:14 invasion [1] - 19:10 invasive [1] - 19:4 involve [1] - 45:25 involved [3] - 10:5, 22:23, 44:6 involves [1] - 8:9 involving [2] - 6:11, 44:6 irreparable [5] - 24:5, 24:11, 24:25, 32:10, 32:24 issue [22] - 4:1, 4:9, 5:1, 7:18, 9:6, 11:3, 11:9, 11:18, 12:23, 13:3, 14:24, 15:10, 22:24, 23:20, 27:15, 32:2, 32:16, 33:5, 33:8, 35:22, 43:7 issued [12] - 3:17, 5:6, 11:4, 11:9, 13:3, 26:9, 37:12, 37:15, 42:25, 48:14, 48:20 issues [3] - 32:19, 35:13, 39:22

### J

IVAN [1] - 1:23

Ivan [1] - 3:10

Jacobson [8] - 18:18, 18:21, 18:25, 19:2, 19:9, 19:20, 20:10, 45:18 jacobson [1] - 19:17 job [4] - 18:12, 19:4, 30:7, 45:19 jobs [1] - 23:4 joined [1] - 30:14 JR [1] - 1:23 Judge [9] - 16:17, 17:19, 17:25, 20:3, 27:14, 27:17, 40:16, 43:4, 48:16 Judicial [3] - 31:10, 36:3, 46:16 judicial [3] - 14:6, 31:8, 48:3 jurisdiction [2] - 28:2, 47:15 Justice [5] - 1:13, 18:22, 18:25, 39:23, 40:13 justice [1] - 47:14

### K

keep [1] - 6:15 kindly [2] - 2:11, 26:24 Knipel [1] - 18:1 knowing [1] - 30:25 knowledge [1] - 21:6 knows [1] - 15:22

### L

lack [3] - 4:23, 10:21,

landmark [1] - 20:10

language [3] - 8:6,

19:24, 20:14

larger [1] - 22:20

last [5] - 5:2, 8:21,

23:15, 25:19, 45:11

9:11, 10:15, 10:18,

15:13, 16:16, 17:19,

20:1, 21:19, 39:6,

39:7

**LAW** [1] - 1:20

Law [15] - 6:7, 7:16,

14:3, 15:1, 15:2,

label [1] - 6:14

13:16

law [7] - 6:20, 12:25, 18:19, 38:23, 45:6, 46:19 lawful [3] - 18:2, 27:3, 29:24 lawfully [2] - 35:19, 39:1 lawyer [1] - 18:20 lawyers [1] - 18:18 learn [1] - 45:6 least [3] - 14:10, 22:1, 33:18 leave [9] - 2:11, 13:18, 23:2, 24:13, 24:19, 24:22, 25:24, 26:10, 41:8 legal [3] - 8:24, 20:8, 22:15 legislation [5] - 6:23, 7:5, 7:13, 9:11, 38:24 legislative [8] - 4:11,

29:3, 38:9, 38:16, 46:15 legislatively [1] - 29:2 legislature [10] - 3:24, 6:22, 6:25, 19:21, 19:22, 21:9, 29:8, 38:17, 38:18, 39:5 legislatures [1] - 44:2 **level** [4] - 16:15, 17:23, 20:5, 23:5 liability [2] - 5:14, 5:17 liability-free [1] - 5:17 life [1] - 45:19 light [1] - 30:15 likely [1] - 5:13 limit [1] - 46:20 limited [2] - 34:11, 43:1 limiting [2] - 16:23, 17:1 line [3] - 6:8, 6:18, 13:20 lists [1] - 35:9 litany [1] - 45:23 litigate [1] - 33:10 litigated [3] - 7:18, 23:23 local [1] - 21:23 logic [1] - 31:7 look [7] - 6:3, 14:15, 15:2, 15:19, 16:11, 37:8, 38:10 looking [1] - 46:10 lose [1] - 42:4 losing [3] - 19:3, 23:4, 48:1 loss [3] - 32:11, 32:12, 32:23

4:23, 8:11, 13:16,

### M

magistrate [1] - 14:2 mailman [1] - 22:17 majority [1] - 23:3 man [1] - 45:18 mandate [51] - 4:22, 6:14, 6:17, 6:24, 7:2, 7:3, 7:4, 7:17, 8:2, 8:5, 8:9, 8:12, 8:13, 8:17, 9:7, 9:9, 10:5, 10:19, 11:3, 11:9, 11:18, 13:4, 13:25, 18:1, 19:4, 19:10, 19:18, 19:19, 19:23, 20:13, 21:9, 22:3, 22:6, 22:7, 22:15, 23:20, 23:22, 25:3, 25:6, 25:19, 26:7, 30:8, 32:17, 33:1,

40:21, 42:3, 44:20, 46:9, 46:15 mandated [3] - 6:11, 6:12, 20:23 mandates [11] - 3:23, 6:7, 6:16, 10:15, 19:8, 21:2, 22:20, 44:1, 45:8, 45:21, 46:20 mandatory [3] - 29:19, 29:21, 39:8 manufacturing [1] -45:9 Marciano [16] - 2:17, 24:9, 24:13, 24:16, 25:2, 33:9, 34:14, 34:15, 41:2, 41:21, 42:1, 42:9, 43:2, 43:20, 45:13, 48:23 MARCIANO [1] - 1:2 Marciano's [1] - 44:22 mark [1] - 18:13 mask [5] - 2:9, 2:12, 4:22, 13:25, 19:4 masks [2] - 2:11, 7:2 Massachusetts [2] -18:18, 20:11 massive [1] - 7:21 material [1] - 37:9 matter [6] - 2:17, 33:3, 37:21, 40:13, 40:16, 43:22 matters [6] - 19:13. 28:2, 33:10, 39:21, 47:21, 48:8 Mayor [21] - 2:20, 4:9, 8:7, 9:22, 9:23, 12:24, 13:7, 14:23, 15:1, 16:18, 20:25, 21:1, 21:17, 26:9, 26:20, 27:4, 27:6, 27:11, 30:22 MAYOR [1] - 1:6 Mayor's [1] - 27:18 mean [7] - 14:13, 14:17, 15:22, 18:11, 35:25, 44:8, 46:10 means [4] - 14:11, 22:1, 29:3, 38:19 measles [4] - 17:20, 18:1. 18:6. 20:4 measure [1] - 38:1 measures [4] - 28:6, 28:9, 38:21, 38:25

medical [2] - 44:3,

meet [3] - 25:20,

25:21, 25:22

MENDEZ [16] - 1:23,

3:10, 40:10, 40:12,

44:6

20:9, 22:7, 37:10

41:5, 41:14, 41:17, 41:20, 42:12, 42:23, 42:25, 43:6, 43:9, 46:25, 48:17, 48:19 Mendez [2] - 3:10, 46:24 Mental [4] - 11:24, 13:10, 13:14, 27:22 MENTAL [1] - 1:7 mentally [1] - 21:21 mention [1] - 40:1 mentioned [2] - 4:23, 39:6 merits [2] - 32:22, 48:2 met [2] - 39:18, 41:7 mic [1] - 40:11 MICROSOFT [1] -1:11 might [5] - 7:8, 10:2, 10:24, 18:13, 35:1 military [1] - 6:13 millionaire [1] - 43:22 mills [1] - 18:23 mind [1] - 4:8 minuscule [1] - 17:19 minute [2] - 13:2, 47:1 minutes [1] - 49:3 missed [1] - 18:13 mitigate [2] - 33:19, 38:22 Moderna [1] - 6:1 modest [1] - 45:18 modified [4] - 2:14, 35:4, 35:10, 35:11 moment [2] - 16:5, 23:11 moments [1] - 26:8 monetary [1] - 32:14 month [4] - 5:16, 24:6, 24:7, 24:9 months [1] - 25:19 moot [1] - 42:11 moreover [1] - 12:7 most [1] - 48:10 motivation [2] - 26:5, 26:6 move [1] - 7:15 MR [15] - 3:10, 40:10, 40:12, 41:5, 41:14, 41:17, 41:20, 42:12, 42:23, 42:25, 43:6, 43:9, 46:25, 48:17, 48:19 MS [89] - 2:24, 3:1, 3:5, 3:7, 4:6, 4:13, 4:16, 4:18, 4:20,

10:23, 11:5, 11:10,

11:13, 11:21, 12:2,

12:13, 12:15, 12:17,

12:19, 12:23, 13:5, 13:7, 13:12, 13:15, 14:25, 16:25, 17:4, 17:11, 17:17, 20:19, 23:10, 23:13, 24:15, 24:21, 24:25, 25:12, 25:16, 25:25, 26:3, 26:12. 26:16. 26:19. 27:1, 27:10, 28:16, 28:23, 29:9, 29:12, 29:20, 30:11, 30:20, 31:2, 31:4, 31:14, 31:16, 31:20, 32:5, 33:17, 33:25, 34:4, 34:6, 34:17, 34:20, 35:8, 35:17, 35:25, 36:2, 36:13, 36:16, 36:19, 36:21, 36:23, 37:4, 37:6, 37:14, 37:17, 37:22, 38:4, 38:12, 38:14, 39:9, 39:19, 39:25, 40:5, 43:13, 43:16, 43:19, 46:23, 48:16 municipal [12] - 24:8, 25:7, 25:20, 27:2, 27:20, 30:1, 30:3, 34:10, 34:11, 39:14, 39:17, 47:16 must [4] - 19:23, 19:25, 30:24

Nanuet [1] - 1:18 nasal [1] - 19:5 natural [4] - 8:19, 14:8, 22:8, 44:11 nature [1] - 26:15 necessarily [1] - 43:1 necessary [3] - 13:17, 19:18, 38:20 need [1] - 19:15 needed [1] - 11:8 negotiate [1] - 9:8 NERVO [1] - 1:13 Nervo [1] - 2:3 never [1] - 46:9 NEW [6] - 1:1, 1:1, 1:6, 1:8, 1:9, 1:20 new [2] - 2:14, 30:23 New [29] - 1:11, 1:12, 1:18, 1:22, 2:2, 2:20, 6:22, 10:3, 21:14, 23:24, 27:7, 27:8, 28:3, 28:4, 29:9, 29:12, 29:13, 29:14, 31:17, 31:21, 34:23, 35:8, 36:6, 36:9, 38:4, 44:18, 46:10

Ν

Newsome [1] - 18:23 next [1] - 9:1 nice [1] - 30:25 night [3] - 5:2, 8:21, 23:15 nightmare [1] - 14:12 nobody [1] - 43:2 nondiscriminatory [1] - 19:19 none [3] - 11:13, 16:3, 19:20 notes [2] - 37:8, 47:2 nothing [4] - 7:14, 15:7, 33:18, 43:13 notice [2] - 12:9, 24:10 novel [4] - 6:23, 7:4, 7:12, 9:11 nuisance [2] - 15:6, 18:10 Number [1] - 2:21 number [6] - 15:25, 16:21, 17:19, 17:22, 39:21, 48:3 numerous [2] - 36:5, 44:17 NYPD [5] - 4:22, 8:17, 19:20, 34:21, 41:6

# 0

observing [1] - 3:11 obtain [2] - 9:18, 45:13 obvious [2] - 40:7, 41:16 obviously [1] - 38:10 **OF** [9] - 1:1, 1:1, 1:6, 1:7, 1:9, 1:20 offer [1] - 46:13 offered [2] - 17:22, 40:23 offers [1] - 26:14 **OFFICE** [1] - 1:20 Officer [1] - 41:2 officer [3] - 13:23, 21:18, 44:13 OFFICER [3] - 2:1, 3:6, 47:4 Officers [1] - 40:14 officers [10] - 22:22, 34:14, 40:19, 40:23, 41:24, 42:15, 44:12, 45:10, 48:21, 48:24 Official [3] - 1:7, 1:8, 1:8 old [1] - 18:19 omicron [2] - 15:23, 45:4 one [14] - 4:24, 11:16,

14:16, 19:8, 22:20, 23:10, 26:14, 39:22, 39:23, 42:18, 43:9, 44:13, 44:24, 48:4 one's [1] - 8:10 opinion [1] - 17:20 opinions [1] - 48:3 opposing [2] - 24:4, 27:13 **opposition** [7] - 5:3, 7:20, 8:21, 9:2, 14:15, 23:15, 47:24 order [26] - 2:4, 3:17, 9:14, 9:24, 14:6, 14:24, 15:13, 16:19, 19:15, 19:21, 21:3, 21:20, 24:7, 24:8, 24:10, 26:7, 26:9, 27:21, 32:2, 34:21, 35:2, 36:7, 42:25, 44:25, 47:12, 48:14 Order [1] - 46:16 ordered [1] - 37:24 orders [8] - 3:17, 4:10, 6:16, 13:16, 27:18, 36:8, 37:12, 47:11 organizational [1] -42:16 **OSHA** [2] - 8:5, 22:4 outbreak [1] - 18:7 outline [1] - 27:11 outlined [1] - 27:12 outset [1] - 4:8 over-inclusive [1] -8:16 overarching [1] -47:13 overblown [1] - 19:12 overbroad [5] - 8:16, 8:18, 22:7, 22:15, 22:21 overturned [1] - 10:11 overweight [1] - 16:4 own [1] - 27:23

### Ρ

 party [2] - 13:17, 26:18 PATRICIA [1] - 1:17 Patricia [2] - 3:1, 15:15 pause [6] - 4:19, 23:8, 23:12, 29:16, 32:17, 43:18 pay [10] - 19:6, 19:7, 24:14, 24:19, 24:22, 25:24, 26:10, 41:8, 41:12, 48:8 PCR [2] - 7:3, 19:4 peel [1] - 4:24 pendency [1] - 47:21 pending [4] - 39:21, 41:6, 41:7, 48:14 people [12] - 14:18, 15:16, 19:7, 19:14, 20:15, 21:21, 22:17, 22:23, 25:10, 27:6, 46:10 per [1] - 20:8 **PERB** [1] - 9:6 perceives [1] - 48:10 percent [4] - 14:17, 16:12, 16:14, 22:25 perhaps [3] - 10:25, 40:4, 47:22 period [2] - 7:6, 27:8 permissible [2] - 2:15, 31:11 person [4] - 14:5, 14:6, 21:25, 43:23 personal [1] - 32:20 personally [2] - 14:13, 18:10 perspective [1] - 34:3 petition [6] - 3:16, 14:2, 21:24, 23:14, 25:2, 48:12 petitioner [10] - 26:4, 32:6, 32:7, 32:9, 32:16, 42:6, 42:19, 43:9, 48:23, 48:24 petitioner's [2] - 3:18, 3:20 petitioning [1] - 22:11 Pfizer [5] - 5:8, 5:9, 5:11, 6:1, 7:12 pharmaceutical [1] -5:20 physical [1] - 13:24 place [4] - 15:20, 20:20, 26:9, 33:18 placed [1] - 25:23 plain [1] - 19:10 plainly [1] - 32:13 plaintiff [5] - 2:23, 3:2,

3:13, 33:23, 48:6 plaintiff's [1] - 48:11 plaintiff/petitioner [2] - 2:19, 26:4 Plaintiff/Petitioners [2] - 1:4, 1:17 **plaintiffs** [1] - 33:8 plenty [1] - 6:6 podium [4] - 2:7, 2:8, 4:15, 11:1 point [15] - 6:10, 9:1, 12:2, 15:12, 17:4, 18:2, 18:6, 31:17, 33:14, 33:21, 35:14, 35:15, 35:18, 43:6, 45:12 pointed [3] - 15:4, 45:7, 46:6 points [1] - 25:1 Police [11] - 3:25, 9:25, 21:17, 27:8, 30:22, 31:12, 31:21, 35:5, 36:6, 36:24, 40:18 police [16] - 22:18, 22:22, 31:23, 34:13, 35:6, 35:21, 40:19, 40:23, 42:15, 44:12, 44:13, 45:10, 45:19, 48:21, 48:24 **POLICE** [1] - 1:8 policeman [1] - 30:24 policy [2] - 38:16, 38:24 poorest [1] - 43:23 population [2] - 16:9, 22:25 position [11] - 4:5, 4:20, 11:23, 34:2, 35:12, 37:2, 38:14, 41:2, 42:8, 42:21, 44:2 positive [1] - 14:9 possibility [3] - 19:9, 33:11, 33:24 possible [5] - 13:22, 25:23, 33:12, 41:3, 42:12 poster [1] - 45:20 potential [2] - 15:21, 22:8 potentially [3] - 42:4, 42:17, 44:10 power [4] - 8:25, 44:5, 46:4, 47:13 powers [2] - 9:22, 10:7 praising [1] - 8:21 precedent [1] - 47:10 precluded [1] - 11:13

preempted [5] - 4:25, protesting [1] - 15:16 6:7, 7:6, 20:23, provide [4] - 29:19, 21:10 29:22, 30:2, 46:8 preemption [3] - 8:24, provided [5] - 4:12, 9:21, 46:3 27:21, 29:18, 39:15, preferred [1] - 13:18 41.9 prejudice [1] - 47:15 provides [1] - 47:22 preliminary [6] providing [1] - 30:1 23:21, 24:1, 24:4, Public [8] - 7:15, 9:11, 32:11, 32:25, 40:17 10:15, 10:18, 14:3, premises [1] - 3:16 21:19, 39:5, 39:7 public [9] - 8:9, 8:12, present [1] - 37:20 14:21, 22:6, 32:16, presentation [1] -23:18 32:18, 32:19, 38:19, presented [1] - 23:14 39:17 President [1] - 8:8 purely [1] - 8:24 presiding [1] - 2:3 purposes [3] - 23:19, 24:3, 32:10 press [1] - 3:6 pursuant [3] - 26:21, presumably [2] -27:23, 34:15 24:10, 36:2 presume [2] - 40:7, put [5] - 24:13, 39:24, 48:22 40:15, 41:22, 46:13

prevail [2] - 9:13,

prevalence [2] - 16:1,

prisoner [1] - 22:9

private [3] - 30:4,

problem [2] - 5:21,

problems [3] - 9:20,

procedural [2] - 12:8,

procedurally [1] - 15:7

procedures [1] - 18:9

proceed [1] - 48:9

40:4, 40:22

43:24, 46:18

45:7

process [3] - 27:11,

Process [3] - 3:20,

prohibit [1] - 43:25

prohibits [5] - 7:16,

8:2, 20:22, 21:12,

promote [1] - 38:19

promulgated [8] -

proper [1] - 42:15

proportional [1] -

proposition [1] -

protections [2] -

43:25, 46:19

protection [1] - 5:14

38:3, 39:1

19:19

42:10

promulgate [1] - 32:1

29:24, 30:15, 34:23,

35:19, 36:7, 37:25,

9:21, 33:12

47:18

16:8

34:12

17:6

12:23

### Q

quarentine [3] - 14:6, 21:20, 46:16 questioning [1] - 17:8 questions [1] - 23:16 quite [2] - 9:2, 43:21 quote [1] - 41:21

### R

raise [1] - 33:12 raised [1] - 45:23 raises [1] - 40:24 rate [7] - 16:12, 16:13, 16:15, 16:22, 19:13, 20:4 rather [2] - 5:6, 25:6 read [1] - 37:19 reading [1] - 47:7 reaffirmed [1] - 22:4 reality [2] - 15:24, 34:13 really [3] - 9:18, 15:24, 19:16 reargue [1] - 45:16 reason [3] - 7:5, 9:7, 32:24 reasonable [13] - 9:4, 24:17, 24:23, 30:9, 30:11, 31:13, 34:3, 34:7, 40:22, 41:1, 41:12, 42:5 reasonably [1] - 41:3 reasons [1] - 4:11 receive [2] - 25:4, 25:5 received [1] - 5:2

recently [3] - 18:22, 25:14, 30:16 recess [2] - 47:1, 47:3 recognize [1] - 48:4 recognized [1] - 22:9 record [7] - 2:22, 41:23, 42:5, 42:6, 42:7, 42:15, 43:20 recovered [1] - 22:13 recovery [1] - 47:17 redressed [2] - 32:14, 32:22 refer [1] - 29:17 reference [1] - 27:15 referenced [1] - 7:20 references [1] - 47:9 referencing [1] - 28:11 referring [1] - 34:17 refusal [1] - 20:10 refuse [1] - 3:19 refused [1] - 23:25 refuses [1] - 21:22 refusing [1] - 45:21 regard [1] - 35:16 regarding [4] - 23:20, 23:21, 38:1, 46:5 regulate [2] - 12:5, regulation [1] - 15:14 regulatory [1] - 19:22 reinfection [1] - 22:12 reissued [1] - 13:15 related [1] - 10:16 relaxing [1] - 21:2 release [1] - 22:9 relevant [1] - 8:7 religious [3] - 44:20, 45:25 rely [2] - 17:17, 20:9 remarkable [1] - 44:25 remember [1] - 20:14 remove [1] - 2:9 repairable [1] - 32:13 repeal [1] - 44:19 repeatedly [1] - 23:24 rephrase [1] - 11:7 replace [1] - 35:2 replaced [1] - 21:1 Reporter [2] - 1:25, 49:6 representative [1] -44:15 representing [1] -40:19 request [3] - 2:6, 24:23, 41:9

requested [1] - 41:5

require [2] - 28:15,

recent [2] - 8:4, 25:18

28:20 required [1] - 46:17 requirement [3] -37:20, 37:22, 37:23 requirements [1] -38:10 requires [1] - 21:19 requiring [1] - 47:19 resolved [1] - 9:18 resource [1] - 21:14 respect [6] - 3:24, 9:12, 26:8, 34:25, 45:23, 47:10 respectfully [5] -14:11, 16:13, 43:22, 45:16, 45:24 respond [3] - 23:9, 38:12, 43:15 respondents [4] - 3:9, 4:21, 7:19, 7:20 responders [3] -47:20, 47:25, 48:5 rest [1] - 30:4 restrained [1] - 14:5 restraining [6] - 9:14, 21:3, 44:25, 47:11, 47:12, 48:13 restraint [1] - 13:25 restrictions [1] - 35:3 restrictive [2] - 14:11, 22:1 result [1] - 42:2 retain [1] - 47:16 reversed [1] - 10:12 review [5] - 31:8, 31:10, 35:24, 36:3, 47:2 reviewed [2] - 3:12, 8:14 rhetorical [1] - 12:21 rights [3] - 3:19, 19:11, 44:22 rise [5] - 2:1, 17:23, 20:5, 23:5, 47:4 rises [1] - 16:15 risk [2] - 22:12, 40:6 Rockland [3] - 17:12, 18:2, 18:11 Roman [1] - 18:24 room [1] - 14:14 Route [1] - 1:18 rule [3] - 20:7, 20:8, 38:17 ruled [1] - 18:1 rules [5] - 2:14, 4:2, 12:8, 18:9, 36:25 ruling [1] - 16:14 run [1] - 38:8 run-arounds [1] - 38:8 44:18

State's [1] - 46:19

sacrifices [1] - 48:4 safety [1] - 8:23 **sanitation** [1] - 45:11 scaring [1] - 15:20 schedule [1] - 10:6 schedules [1] - 37:11 school [1] - 10:16 school-related [1] -10:16 science [2] - 19:17, 20:7 scientist [1] - 15:17 sealed [1] - 2:13 seated [3] - 2:3, 2:5, 47:5 second [2] - 14:10, 21:7 Second [1] - 23:25 secondly [3] - 3:22, 6:20, 21:5 seconds [1] - 20:17 Section [10] - 15:2, 27:25, 28:5, 28:13, 28:19, 28:25, 29:15, 31:18, 32:2, 38:6 see [1] - 2:11 seeing [1] - 41:16 seek [1] - 47:17 seem [1] - 16:1 segued [1] - 10:20 Senior [2] - 1:25, 49:6 sense [1] - 26:20 separate [2] - 28:24, 35:22 separation [4] - 8:24, 9:21, 10:7, 46:4 series [1] - 13:15 serious [1] - 17:2 seriously [1] - 45:20 Service [1] - 11:24 session [1] - 2:2 set [1] - 16:5 several [1] - 7:21 **share** [1] - 40:12 SHEA [1] - 1:8 shocked [1] - 15:23 **shortage** [1] - 17:5 shot [2] - 8:5, 10:14 sick [1] - 21:22 sign [1] - 46:13 signed [1] - 30:13 signs [1] - 46:9 similar [3] - 26:16, 26:17, 39:22 similarly [6] - 1:3. 2:19, 33:9, 41:21, 42:18, 44:21

simple [1] - 21:11 **simply** [1] - 9:5 sit [1] - 42:13 situated [7] - 1:3, 2:19, 33:9, 41:22, 42:18, 44:16, 44:21 situation [2] - 12:3, 32:15 six [1] - 30:24 skirting [1] - 38:15 slightly [1] - 18:13 smoking [1] - 44:5 society [2] - 19:24, 23:6 soldiers [1] - 6:18 someone [5] - 15:18, 21:22, 25:5, 41:3, 44:9 sometimes [1] - 15:25 somewhat [1] - 5:10 somewhere [1] - 30:7 soon [2] - 21:1, 26:24 soon-to-be [1] - 21:1 sorry [7] - 2:25, 6:3, 18:24, 24:12, 36:13, 36:21 sort [3] - 31:5, 31:8, 38:16 specific [9] - 23:16, 29:4, 34:25, 35:20, 35:21, 36:8, 38:21, 39:13, 39:14 specifically [29] -6:23, 7:16, 8:1, 8:18, 16:18, 18:17, 20:14, 23:19, 23:21, 24:3, 27:2, 27:12, 27:23, 27:25, 29:5, 29:17, 30:3, 31:17, 31:25, 32:5, 34:6, 34:10, 36:5, 38:5, 39:10, 39:12, 44:4, 46:20 specifics [1] - 39:11 specified [2] - 27:17, 35:9 speculation [1] -42:13 spend [1] - 9:2 spot [1] - 31:6 spot-on [1] - 31:6 spreading [1] - 18:5 standard [2] - 33:6, 41:1 standing [2] - 42:16, 43:7 start [2] - 20:19, 20:20 starting [1] - 9:10 state [2] - 4:4, 6:20 **STATE** [1] - 1:1 State [3] - 2:2, 10:4,

statement [1] - 21:5 states [3] - 28:14, 28:20, 32:2 States [4] - 5:10, 5:12, 5:17, 20:24 stating [1] - 40:7 **statistics** [1] - 17:18 status [4] - 24:14, 24:19, 24:22, 48:8 statute [8] - 7:15, 8:2, 20:1, 21:12, 21:15, 29:7, 37:23, 39:1 statutes [5] - 28:11, 39:3, 39:4, 39:13, 39:15 statutory [7] - 8:25, 9:15, 9:20, 38:9, 43:25, 46:4, 46:19 stenographic [1] -49:3 **stop** [1] - 48:18 Street [2] - 1:11, 1:21 striking [1] - 6:16 strong [4] - 26:2, 33:22, 44:12, 47:24 strong-armed [1] -44:12 strong-arming [2] -26:2, 33:22 struck [3] - 6:17, 10:6, 22:20 struggling [1] - 14:14 subject [6] - 14:5, 26:4, 27:18, 31:7, 35:23, 36:3 submissions [1] -3:13 submit [3] - 23:2, 36:4, 39:9 submitted [3] - 23:15, 24:22, 47:8 subordinates [1] -9:25 **Subsection** [4] - 28:1, 28:5, 29:14, 29:15 substance [2] - 28:14, 44:9 subway [2] - 46:8, 46:14 successful [1] - 32:21 succumb [3] - 25:3, 32:7, 47:25 sucked [1] - 19:17 sue [4] - 5:20, 13:13, 13:17 suffocating [1] - 19:4 suggest [2] - 5:11, 8:3 **suggested** [1] - 2:16

Suite [1] - 1:18 sum [1] - 20:17 summary [1] - 18:14 supplement [1] -34:24 supplementing [1] -34:21 **SUPREME** [1] - 1:1 Supreme [6] - 1:11, 1:13, 2:1, 10:4, 18:22, 20:12 surpass [1] - 35:19 survival [2] - 16:13, 16:15 suspected [2] - 14:7, 21:25 **symptoms** [1] - 16:3 system [1] - 2:13 Т

table [1] - 2:10 tackling [1] - 13:7 tactic [1] - 26:2 Tal [2] - 1:25, 49:6 tall [1] - 30:24 TEAMS [1] - 1:11 temporary [7] - 9:14, 15:9, 21:3, 44:25, 47:11, 47:12, 48:13 ten [1] - 47:1 tended [1] - 16:4 term [18] - 9:9, 25:7, 25:9, 25:13, 25:17, 25:20, 25:21, 25:22, 26:2, 27:3, 27:6, 30:2, 30:12, 30:14, 30:23, 30:25, 31:10, 34:8 Term [1] - 2:2 terms [8] - 30:17, 34:8, 34:24, 35:1, 35:4, 35:9, 35:18, 36:1 test [1] - 19:4 tested [2] - 14:1, 14:8 testimony [4] - 17:15, 41:25, 42:1, 43:20 testing [1] - 7:3 tests [1] - 41:8 THE [106] - 1:1, 1:6, 1:8, 1:9, 1:20, 2:5, 2:25, 3:3, 3:12, 4:7, 4:14, 4:17, 10:20, 10:24, 11:6, 11:12, 11:14, 11:22, 12:11, 12:14, 12:16, 12:18, 12:20, 13:2, 13:6, 13:9, 13:13, 14:22, 16:23, 17:1, 17:8,

8 17:14, 20:18, 23:9, 24:12, 24:20, 24:24, 25:9, 25:13, 25:23, 26:1, 26:5, 26:13, 26:17, 26:23, 27:5, 28:10, 28:18, 29:7, 29:11, 29:17, 30:9, 30:17. 30:21. 31:3. 31:9, 31:15, 31:19, 32:4, 33:2, 33:21, 34:3, 34:5, 34:13, 34:19, 35:3, 35:14, 35:23, 36:1, 36:12, 36:14, 36:17, 36:20, 36:22, 36:25, 37:5, 37:8, 37:15, 37:18, 38:3, 38:7, 38:13, 39:2, 39:16, 39:20, 40:1, 40:6, 40:11, 40:24, 41:10, 41:15, 41:19, 42:8, 42:20, 42:24, 43:3, 43:8, 43:11, 43:14, 43:17, 46:22, 46:24, 47:1, 47:5, 48:18, 48:22 themselves [1] - 30:18 therefore [4] - 5:25, 39:18, 42:20, 48:13 thirty [1] - 20:17 Thorsen [1] - 17:19 Thorsen's [1] - 20:3 thousands [1] - 42:14 threat [3] - 13:24, 21:19, 38:22 three [1] - 3:16 ties [1] - 20:1 today [3] - 19:1, 23:20, 24:2 together [1] - 28:12 tomorrow [1] - 40:16 top [2] - 9:10, 20:20 total [2] - 16:5, 28:13 totality [1] - 14:12 totally [1] - 42:11 tracing [2] - 7:2, 7:14 track [1] - 16:6 traditionally [1] - 46:6 transcript [1] - 49:3 trial [1] - 40:3 tried [3] - 8:8, 18:11, 45:13 TRO [4] - 9:19, 33:5, 33:8, 48:20 true [3] - 18:20, 19:13, 49:3 try [1] - 13:22 trying [6] - 8:8, 8:11, 9:13, 14:19, 15:12, 39:10

two [4] - 14:4, 14:18,

20:25, 36:10 **type** [2] - 32:13, 46:9 **typically** [1] - 5:17

#### U

ultimately [2] - 9:13, 47:18 ultra [1] - 10:9 unable [2] - 32:1, 33:20 unanimously [1] -36:11 unapproved [1] - 6:14 unaware [1] - 15:21 under [26] - 2:14, 2:21, 6:2, 6:4, 6:7, 6:20, 6:22, 7:4, 7:6, 7:25, 8:17, 11:1, 11:15, 11:25, 14:2, 20:21, 21:10, 22:16, 22:21, 25:14, 27:5, 29:24, 31:15, 33:16, 46:12, 46:17 under-inclusive [3] -8:17, 22:16, 22:21 underpinning [1] -13:16 unfamiliarity [1] -28:10 unfortunately [1] -31:4 union [5] - 9:3, 40:18, 40:20, 42:16, 46:1 unions [1] - 9:12 United [4] - 5:10, 5:12, 5:16, 20:24 unjust [1] - 47:22 unless [2] - 18:14, 41:12 unlike [1] - 9:19 unmindful [1] - 47:10 unprecedented [1] -48:6 unquote [1] - 41:21 unvaccinated [1] -48:5 **up** [7] - 6:3, 6:15, 20:17, 25:1, 41:12, 45:17, 46:13 updated [1] - 18:20 upheld [8] - 10:8, 17:12, 17:24, 18:17, 19:1, 19:2, 20:3,

### ٧

vaccinate [1] - 20:15 vaccinated [5] - 12:1,

36:5

18:6, 23:1, 41:4, 48:4 vaccination [6] - 3:23, 8:2, 26:15, 28:7, 29:19, 39:8 vaccinations [5] -3:21, 6:24, 29:18, 29:21, 29:22 vaccine [43] - 5:4, 5:8, 5:9, 5:11, 5:19, 5:23, 5:24, 6:2, 6:6, 6:14, 7:4, 7:12, 7:22, 7:24, 14:2, 19:9, 19:17, 20:10, 20:13, 20:24, 21:9, 23:20, 23:22, 25:3, 25:4, 25:6, 25:19, 28:8, 30:8, 32:7, 32:17, 33:1, 33:23, 43:25, 44:20, 45:2, 45:7, 45:21, 46:8, 46:20 vaccines [16] - 5:17, 5:18, 5:19, 6:11, 6:12, 6:21, 8:22, 8:23, 10:16, 12:5, 15:16, 21:8, 21:13, 28:7, 46:8, 46:13 validity [1] - 26:8 variant [3] - 15:23, 15:24, 45:3 variants [2] - 15:21, 15:22 various [1] - 39:3 versus [10] - 8:5, 10:3, 17:12, 18:18, 18:25, 20:10, 21:13, 22:4, 44:4, 44:18 view [1] - 37:10 violate [2] - 3:18, 4:3 violates [3] - 3:20, 44:22 violating [1] - 10:7 vires [1] - 10:9 viruss [1] - 19:14 vote [3] - 12:10, 12:12,

# W

37:21 **voted** [2] - 44:1

W.T [1] - 17:12 wait [1] - 13:2 watershed [1] - 45:5 wealthy [1] - 42:10 week [4] - 14:1, 17:13, 17:25, 37:10 weeks [2] - 6:21, 20:25 weight [1] - 46:12 wife [1] - 33:15 wish [3] - 34:2, 43:12, 46:22 wondering [1] - 46:11 workers [2] - 17:7, 45:11 works [1] - 4:17 world [2] - 43:23, 46:10

### Υ

year [2] - 45:3, 45:11 years [1] - 9:17 YORK [6] - 1:1, 1:1, 1:6, 1:8, 1:9, 1:20 York [29] - 1:11, 1:12, 1:18, 1:22, 2:2, 2:20, 6:22, 10:3, 21:14, 23:24, 27:7, 27:8, 28:3, 28:4, 29:9, 29:12, 29:13, 29:14, 31:17, 31:21, 34:23, 35:8, 36:6, 36:9, 38:4, 44:18, 46:11

### Z

zero [1] - 16:2